ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS

Olive Oil Consumption and Cardiovascular Risk in U.S. Adults



Marta Guasch-Ferré, PHD,^{a,b} Gang Liu, PHD,^c Yanping Li, PHD,^a Laura Sampson, RD,^a JoAnn E. Manson, MD, DRPH,^{b,d,e} Jordi Salas-Salvadó, MD, PHD,^{f,g} Miguel A. Martínez-González, MD, PHD,^{a,g,h} Meir J. Stampfer, MD, PHD,^{b,d} Walter C. Willett, MD, DRPH,^{a,b,d} Qi Sun, MD, PHD,^{a,b} Frank B. Hu, MD, PHD^{a,b,d}

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND Olive oil intake has been associated with lower risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in Mediterranean populations, but little is known about these associations in the U.S population.

OBJECTIVES This study sought to examine whether olive oil intake is associated with total CVD, coronary heart disease (CHD), and stroke risk.

METHODS This study included 61,181 women from the Nurses' Health Study (1990 to 2014) and 31,797 men from the Health Professionals Follow-up Study (1990 to 2014) who were free of cancer, heart disease, and stroke at baseline. Diet was assessed using food frequency questionnaires at baseline and then every 4 years. Cox proportional hazards regressions were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

RESULTS During 24 years of follow-up, this study documented 9,797 incident cases of CVD, including 6,034 CHD cases and 3,802 stroke cases. After adjusting for major diet and lifestyle factors, compared with nonconsumers, those with higher olive oil intake (>0.5 tablespoon/day or >7 g/day) had 14% lower risk of CVD (pooled HR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.79 to 0.94) and 18% lower risk of CHD (pooled HR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.73 to 0.91). No significant associations were observed for total or ischemic stroke. Replacing 5 g/day of margarine, butter, mayonnaise, or dairy fat with the equivalent amount of olive oil was associated with 5% to 7% lower risk of total CVD and CHD. No significant associations were observed when olive oil was compared with other plant oils combined. In a subset of participants, higher olive oil intake was associated with lower levels of circulating inflammatory biomarkers and a better lipid profile.

CONCLUSIONS Higher olive oil intake was associated with lower risk of CHD and total CVD in 2 large prospective cohorts of U.S. men and women. The substitution of margarine, butter, mayonnaise, and dairy fat with olive oil could lead to lower risk of CHD and CVD. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;75:1729-39) © 2020 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.



Listen to this manuscript's audio summary by Editor-in-Chief Dr. Valentin Fuster on JACC.org.

From the ^aDepartment of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts; ^bChanning Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; Department of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, Hubei Key Laboratory of Food Nutrition and Safety, Ministry of Education Key Lab of Environment and Health, School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China; ^dDepartment of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts; ^eDivision of Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; ^fHuman Nutrition Unit, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Institut d'Investigació Sanitària Pere Virgili, Rovira i Virgili University, Reus, Spain; ^gCentro de Investigación Biomédica en Red Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición, Institute of Health Carlos III, Madrid, Spain; and the ^hUniversity of Navarra, Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Pamplona, Spain. This work was supported by research grants UM1 CA186107, UM1 CA176726, U01 CA167552, P01 CA87969, P01 CA055075, R01 HL034594, HL088521, HL35464, DK120870, and HL60712 from the National Institutes of Health. Dr. Guasch-Ferré received grant 1-18-PMF-029 from the American Diabetes Association. Dr. Salas-Salvadó gratefully acknowledges the financial support by ICREA under ICREA Academy, Drs. Salas-Salvadó and Marínez-Gonzáklz are principal investigators of PREDIMED-Plus and received olive oil used in the PREDIMED and PREDIMED-Plus trials from The Fundación Patrimonio Comunal Olivarero and Hojiblanca SA (Malaga, Spain). Dr. Hu has received research support from California Walnut Commission. All other authors have reported that they have nor relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Manuscript received November 17, 2019; revised manuscript received January 30, 2020, accepted February 11, 2020.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

CHD = coronary heart disease

- CI = confidence interval
- CVD = cardiovascular disease
- FFQ = food frequency
- questionnaire HR = hazard ratio
- MUFA = monounsaturated fatty acid
- **PUFA** = polyunsaturated fatty acid

SFA = saturated fatty acid

ardiovascular disease (CVD), a leading cause of global death, can be largely prevented with a healthy lifestyle (1). Current recommendations highlight the importance of dietary patterns including healthy sources of dietary fats, such as those high in unsaturated fat and low in saturated fat (SFA), for primary prevention of CVD (2). Olive oil is high in monounsaturated fat (MUFA), especially oleic acid, and other minor components including vitamin E, polyphenols, and lipid molecules that may contribute to its anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties (3). Olive oil has been traditionally used as the main culinary and dressing fat in Mediterranean regions, and recently, it has become more popular worldwide.

SEE PAGE 1740

Early ecological studies observed inverse associations between average country-level consumption of olive oil and the risk of CVD (4). Clinical trials have shown that the consumption of olive oil improves cardiovascular risk factors, including inflammatory and lipid biomarkers (5). In addition, observational studies found that olive oil intake is inversely associated with CVD (6-8) and all-cause death (7). Results from the PREDIMED (Prevention With Mediterranean Diet) trial also revealed that a Mediterranean diet, compared with the control diet, supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil reduced the risk of a composite of CVD events by 31% (9). A recent meta-analysis found an inverse association between olive oil consumption and risk of stroke, but there were inconsistencies between the studies that assessed coronary heart disease (CHD) as the endpoint (10). Of note, all of the included studies were conducted in Mediterranean countries.

The associations between olive oil intake and risk of CVD have not yet been evaluated in the U.S. population, whose olive oil consumption has increased in recent years. Therefore, we examined the association between olive oil consumption with CVD in 2 large U.S. prospective cohort studies, the NHS (Nurses' Health Study) and the HPFS (Health Professional's Follow-up Study). We used statistical models to estimate risk of CVD when margarine, butter, mayonnaise, dairy fat, and plant oils were replaced by olive oil. In addition, we examined the associations among olive oil intake, plasma inflammatory biomarkers, and lipids in a subpopulation of the cohorts.

METHODS

STUDY POPULATION. The NHS is an ongoing prospective cohort study of 121,700 U.S. female registered

nurses ages 30 to 55 years at study baseline in 1976. The NHSII started in 1989 with 116,429 female nurses ages 25 to 42 years. The HPFS is a prospective cohort study of 51,529 male health professionals ages 40 to 75 years at study baseline in 1986. Detailed information has been described previously elsewhere (11,12).

Baseline for both cohorts was 1990, when olive oil consumption was first included as part of the food frequency questionnaires (FFQs). Those participants who reported cancer, heart disease, or stroke at baseline; participants with missing information on olive oil questions; or those who had daily energy intakes <600 or >3,500 kcal for women and <800 or >4,200 kcal for men, were excluded. After exclusions, a total of 61,181 women and 31,797 men remained for analysis. The protocol was approved by the institutional review board of Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health.

ASCERTAINMENT OF CVD. The primary outcome measure was major CVD defined as a combined endpoint of nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, or fatal CVD (fatal stroke, fatal myocardial infarction, and other cardiovascular death). The following secondary outcome measures were assessed: total CHD, which was defined as fatal CHD and nonfatal myocardial infarction; total stroke, which included all fatal and nonfatal stroke cases (ischemic, hemorrhagic, and undetermined subtypes); and fatal CVD, which included all fatal CHD, fatal stroke, and other cardiovascular death. When a participant (or family members of deceased participants) reported an incident event, permission was requested to examine their medical records by physicians who were blinded to the participant risk factor status. For each endpoint, the month and year of diagnosis were recorded as the diagnosis date. Nonfatal events were confirmed through review of medical records. Myocardial infarction was confirmed if the World Health Organization criteria were met on the basis of symptoms plus diagnostic electrocardiogram changes or elevated cardiac enzymes. Strokes were confirmed if data in the medical records fulfilled the National Survey of Stroke criteria requiring evidence of a neurological deficit with sudden or rapid onset that persisted for >24 h or until death (13). Strokes were classified as ischemic stroke (thrombotic or embolic occlusion of a cerebral artery), hemorrhagic stroke (subarachnoid and intraparenchymal hemorrhage), or stroke of probable and/or unknown subtype (a stroke was documented, but the subtype could not be ascertained).

Deaths were identified by reports of families, the U.S. postal system, or using death certificates obtained from state vital statistics departments and the National Death Index and confirmed through review of medical records or autopsy reports. Follow-up for deaths was >98% complete.

DIETARY **ASSESSMENT.** Dietary intake was measured using a validated semiquantitative FFQ with over 130 items administered every 4 years. The reproducibility and validity of these FFQs have been described in previous reports (14). Participants were asked how often, on average, they had consumed specific foods, as well as types of fats, oils, and brand or type of oils used for cooking and added at the table in the preceding year. Total olive oil intake was calculated from the sum of 3 questionnaire questions related to olive oil intake: olive oil salad dressing; olive oil added to food or bread; and olive oil used for baking and frying at home. Olive oil intake was categorized into 4 categories: 1) never or less than once per month; 2) >0 to \leq 1 teaspoon (>0 to \leq 4.5 g/day); 3) >1 teaspoon to \leq 0.5 tablespoon (>4.5 to \leq 7 g/day); and 4) >0.5 tablespoon (>7 g/day). We also analyzed olive oil intake as a continuous variable. One tablespoon was considered to be equivalent to 13.5 g of olive oil. The amount of other plant oils (e.g., corn, safflower, soybean, canola) was calculated based on the participant's reported oil brand and type of fat used for cooking at home, including frying, sautéing, baking, and salad dressing. Data about homemade baking items and frying fats at home were also incorporated. Total margarine was calculated based on the reported frequency of stick, tub, or soft margarine and the amount of margarine added from baking and frying at home. Butter intake was also calculated based on the frequency that butter was added to foods and used for frying, sautéing, and baking. Intakes of dairy and other fats and nutrients were calculated based on the U.S. Department of Agriculture and Harvard University Food Composition Database (15) and our biochemical analyses.

ASSESSMENT OF PLASMA INFLAMMATORY BIOMARKERS

AND LIPIDS. Plasma samples were collected in substudies of the NHS (n = 32,862) during 1989 to 1990, NHSII (n = 29,611) during 1996 to 1999, and HPFS (n = 18,019) during 1993 to 1995 (16). Plasma concentrations of several inflammatory and lipid biomarkers were measured (16). Data from these substudies and data corrected for batch effects were combined. After excluding outliers (identified by a generalized extreme studentized deviate manyoutlier procedure [17]) in each substudy, and duplicates across substudies, a total of 32,624 individuals were included in the biomarker analyses.

ASSESSMENT OF COVARIATES. Every 2 years, participants returned a mailed validated questionnaire that obtained updated information on age, body weight, smoking status, physical activity, aspirin and other medications use, multivitamin use, menopausal status and postmenopausal hormone use in women, and physician diagnosis of chronic diseases. Baseline history of hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and type 2 diabetes mellitus were determined through self-reporting. Body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS. Each individual persontime was calculated from the date of the return of the baseline questionnaire to the date of CVD diagnosis, death, or the end of follow-up (June 30, 2014, for the NHS, and January 31, 2014, for HPFS), whichever came first. We stopped updating dietary variables on a report of cancer, coronary artery bypass, or angina because changes in diet after the development of these conditions may confound the associations. The cumulative average of food intake from all available FFQs was calculated to better represent long-term diet and to minimize within-person variation.

Multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of developing total CVD, CHD, and stroke according to olive oil intake categories. Separate analyses were conducted for ischemic stroke and fatal and nonfatal CVD. Hemorrhagic stroke was not analyzed separately due to the low number of cases. Multivariable models were adjusted for updated covariates: age; ethnicity; Southern European and/or Mediterranean ancestry; smoking status; alcohol intake; physical activity; family history of diabetes; family history of myocardial infarction; cancer; baseline diabetes mellitus; hypertension or antihypertensive medication use; hypercholesterolemia or cholesterol-lowering medication use; multivitamin use; aspirin use; in women, postmenopausal status and menopausal hormone use; total energy intake; and body mass index. Model 3 was additionally adjusted for red meat, fruits and vegetables, nuts, soda, whole grain intake (in quintiles), and trans fat. To quantify a linear trend, we conducted a Wald test for linear trend by assigning the median intake within each quintile and modeling this as a continuous variable.

Stratified analysis and potential interactions with several pre-specified subgroups were evaluated using the Wald test on cross-product terms based on olive oil intake (continuous variable) and the stratification variables.

The risk of total CVD, CHD, and stroke was estimated when substituting 5 g/day of olive oil for the equivalent amount of other types of fats (margarine, butter, mayonnaise, other plant oils [corn, safflower, soybean, and canola], dairy fat, and all other fats combined). Both continuous variables were included in the multivariable model just described and mutually adjusted for other types of fat. The differences among regression beta coefficients and variance and the covariance were used to derive the HRs and 95% CIs for the substitution associations.

Sensitivity analyses were conducted to test the robustness of the results. First, to test whether the results were affected by selectively stopping updating diet, diet was continuously updated until the end of follow-up. Second, instead of using the cumulative average of diet, the most recent measure of diet was used. Third, the models were mutually adjusted for other types of fats. Fourth, sensitivity analysis excluding body mass index from the models were conducted. Fifth, the models were adjusted for modified AHEI (Alternative Healthy Eating Index) (without polyunsaturated fatty acid [PUFA]-SFA ratio). Finally, the models were adjusted for updated history of diabetes, hypertension or medication, and hypercholesterolemia or medication. Bonferroni corrections to account for multiple testing were conducted at alpha = 0.016 (alpha corrected for 3) outcomes) and alpha = 0.008 (alpha corrected for 6 tests in the substitution analyses).

Linear regressions were used to evaluate the associations among categories of olive oil intake, plasma levels of inflammatory biomarkers, and lipids. The average intake of olive oil was calculated from the 2 FFQs administered closer to the data of blood collection (in NHS and HPFS, 1990 and 1994; and in NHSII, 1991 and 1995). Multivariable models were adjusted for the same covariates described herein, with additional adjustment for study cohort, fasting and case-control status, steroid use, and SFA and PUFA intake. Participants taking lipid-lowering medication or with hypercholesterolemia at baseline were excluded in the analyses of blood lipids.

The HRs from multivariable models in each cohort were pooled with the use of an inverse varianceweighted meta-analysis using a fixed-effects model. Analyses were performed with the SAS statistical package version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). Statistical tests were 2-sided, and p values of <0.05 indicated statistical significance.

RESULTS

During an average of 24 years of follow-up, a total of 9,797 CVD cases, 5,487 in the NHS and 4,310 in the HPFS were documented. Mean consumption of olive

oil increased from 1.30 g/day in 1990 to 4.2 g/day in 2010, whereas intake of margarine decreased over the course of follow-up (Supplemental Figure 1). The Spearman correlations between olive oil and other types of fat are presented in Supplemental Table 1. Characteristics of participants according to frequency of olive oil intake using updated variables over time are shown in Table 1. Men and women with a higher intake of olive oil also tended to have higher energy intake and higher intakes of nuts, fruits and vegetables, and other plant oils. The mean intake of total olive oil in the highest category (>0.5 tablespoon/day) was about 12 g/day (Table 1).

After adjusting for demographic and lifestyle factors, compared with those who consumed olive oil less than once per month, those who consumed >0.5 tablespoon/day of olive oil had a 14% lower risk of CVD (HR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.79 to 0.94; p_{trend} < 0.001) (Table 2). When body mass index was excluded from the models, the results were consistent (pooled HR: 0.83; 95% CI: 0.76 to 0.91; $p_{trend} < 0.001$). For CHD comparing extreme categories of olive oil intake after adjusting for potential confounders, the pooled HR was 0.82 (95% CI: 0.73 to 0.91; p_{trend} < 0.001). Per each 5-g/day increase in olive oil intake, the HR for stroke was 0.96 (95% CI: 0.92 to 1.01; p = 0.14) (Table 2). Pooling estimates of the fully adjusted model from both cohorts resulted in an overall HR of ischemic stroke of 0.99 (95% CI: 0.93 to 1.05; p = 0.66) per each 5-g/day increase in olive oil consumption (Supplemental Table 2).

When the models for total olive oil were mutually adjusted for other types of fat, the estimates were consistent with those in the primary analysis (Supplemental Table 3). Total olive oil intake was also associated with lower risk of fatal CVD, which was more pronounced than the risk of nonfatal CVD (Supplemental Table 4). In the pooled fully adjusted analysis, each 5-g/day increase in olive oil consumption was associated with an 8% lower risk of fatal CVD (95% CI: 0.87 to 0.97; $p_{trend} = 0.01$) and a 4% lower risk of nonfatal CVD (95% CI: 0.92 to 0.99; $p_{trend} = 0.02$).

We found significant inverse associations in most of the pre-specified subgroup analyses (**Table 3**). No significant interactions were observed for any of the variables analyzed. Participants reporting Southern European and/or Mediterranean ancestry and higher olive oil intake had a 6% (HR: 0.94; 95% CI: 0.90 to 0.98) lower risk of CVD, which was similar to the association observed in the non-Mediterranean ancestry subgroups.

Replacing 5 g/day of margarine with 5 g/day of olive oil was estimated to be associated with 6% lower

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Participants According to Categories of Total Olive Oil Intake								
	Nurses' Health Study				Health Professionals Follow-Up Study			
	Never or <1 per Month (n = 32,673)	>0 to ≤4.5 g/day (>0 to ≤1 Teaspoon) (n = 22,918)	>4.5 to ≤7 g/day (>1 Teaspoon to ≤0.5 TBS) (n = 2,412)	>7 g/day (>0.5 TBS) (n = 3,178)	Never or <1 per Month (n = 16,073)	>0 to ≤4.5 g/day (>0 to ≤1 Teaspoon) (n = 12,853)	>4.5 to ≤7 g/day (>1 Teaspoon to ≤0.5 TBS) (n = 1,246)	>7 g/day (>0.5 TBS) (n = 1,625)
Total olive oil, g/day	0.0 ± 0.0	1.5 ± 1.2	5.6 ± 0.7	11.7 ± 5.7	0.0 ± 0.0	1.5 ± 1.2	$\textbf{5.6} \pm \textbf{0.7}$	11.2 ± 5.4
Age, yrs	65 ± 10	67 ± 10	67 ± 8	67 ± 8	65 ± 10	65 ± 10	65 ± 10	65 ± 10
BMI, kg/m ²	$\textbf{26.1} \pm \textbf{5.9}$	$\textbf{26.1} \pm \textbf{5.2}$	$\textbf{26.1} \pm \textbf{5.0}$	$\textbf{25.8} \pm \textbf{4.9}$	$\textbf{25.9} \pm \textbf{3.6}$	$\textbf{26.0} \pm \textbf{3.5}$	$\textbf{25.9} \pm \textbf{3.5}$	$\textbf{25.8} \pm \textbf{3.6}$
Physical activity, MET-h/week	15.5 ± 21.4	$\textbf{18.3} \pm \textbf{23.2}$	$\textbf{21.4} \pm \textbf{23.9}$	$\textbf{23.7} \pm \textbf{25.6}$	$\textbf{35.1} \pm \textbf{41.8}$	$\textbf{38.6} \pm \textbf{42.2}$	$\textbf{41.5} \pm \textbf{42.9}$	$\textbf{45.1} \pm \textbf{46.2}$
Family history of diabetes	31.1	29.4	28.4	27.7	20.8	21.6	20.9	21.6
Family history of myocardial infarction	18.8	19.1	19.2	19.1	31.3	30.3	32.5	32.0
Ethnicity, white	97.4	98.1	98.3	98.5	95.2	95.6	95.8	96.6
Southern European and/or Mediterranean ancestry	13.9	16.8	21.8	28.2	20.2	22.3	27.9	36.0
Current smoker	10.7	9.0	7.9	7.1	6.2	4.4	4.2	3.8
Current menopausal hormone use	32.0	31.8	32.5	31.1	-	-	-	-
Hypertension	43.8	47.2	47.3	45.2	33.7	37.4	39.0	38.2
Hypercholesterolemia	53.7	59.2	60.6	58.7	38.2	45.8	48.7	47.9
Total energy intake, kcal/day	$\textbf{1,637} \pm \textbf{515}$	1,677 \pm 517	$\textbf{1,805} \pm \textbf{523}$	$\textbf{1,915} \pm \textbf{547}$	$\textbf{1,934} \pm \textbf{605}$	$\textbf{1,988} \pm \textbf{615}$	$\textbf{2,093} \pm \textbf{618}$	$\textbf{2,223} \pm \textbf{632}$
Alcohol, g/day	$\textbf{3.6} \pm \textbf{8.1}$	$\textbf{5.5} \pm \textbf{9.7}$	$\textbf{7.6} \pm \textbf{11.2}$	$\textbf{9.1} \pm \textbf{12.4}$	$\textbf{8.9} \pm \textbf{13.7}$	$\textbf{11.9} \pm \textbf{14.9}$	$\textbf{15.5} \pm \textbf{16.4}$	$\textbf{17.7} \pm \textbf{17.9}$
Red meat, servings/day	$\textbf{0.9}\pm\textbf{0.6}$	$\textbf{0.8}\pm\textbf{0.5}$	$\textbf{0.8}\pm\textbf{0.5}$	$\textbf{0.7}\pm\textbf{0.5}$	1.1 ± 0.8	1.0 ± 0.7	$\textbf{0.9} \pm \textbf{0.7}$	$\textbf{0.9}\pm\textbf{0.6}$
Nuts, servings/day	$\textbf{0.2}\pm\textbf{0.7}$	$\textbf{0.4}\pm\textbf{1.1}$	0.5 ± 1.5	$\textbf{0.7}\pm\textbf{1.9}$	0.2 ± 0.3	$\textbf{0.3}\pm\textbf{0.3}$	$\textbf{0.3}\pm\textbf{0.3}$	0.3 ± 0.4
Whole grains, servings/day	1.7 ± 1.3	$\textbf{1.8}\pm\textbf{1.2}$	1.9 ± 1.3	2.0 ± 1.3	1.2 ± 1.0	$\textbf{1.2}\pm\textbf{0.9}$	$\textbf{1.3}\pm\textbf{0.9}$	1.3 ± 1.0
Fruits and vegetables, servings/day	$\textbf{4.8} \pm \textbf{1.9}$	$\textbf{5.1} \pm \textbf{1.9}$	$\textbf{5.7} \pm \textbf{2.0}$	$\textbf{6.1} \pm \textbf{2.1}$	$\textbf{5.2} \pm \textbf{2.3}$	$\textbf{5.6} \pm \textbf{2.3}$	$\textbf{6.4} \pm \textbf{2.5}$	7.0 ± 2.7
Coffee, servings/day	1.7 ± 1.5	$\textbf{1.7}\pm\textbf{1.4}$	1.7 ± 1.3	1.7 ± 1.3	1.9 ± 1.7	$\textbf{1.9} \pm \textbf{1.6}$	1.9 ± 1.5	$\textbf{2.0} \pm \textbf{1.5}$
Soda, servings/day	$\textbf{0.7}\pm\textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{0.6} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{0.6} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{0.6}\pm\textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{0.8}\pm\textbf{0.9}$	$\textbf{0.7}\pm\textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{0.7}\pm\textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{0.6} \pm \textbf{0.8}$
Dairy fat, g/day	10.9 ± 6.5	11.0 ± 6.1	11.3 ± 6.2	11.7 ± 6.5	11.4 ± 7.7	11.3 ± 7.1	11.3 ± 6.9	11.6 ± 7.3
Other plant oils, g/day	$\textbf{4.0} \pm \textbf{3.6}$	$\textbf{3.7}\pm\textbf{2.9}$	$\textbf{3.8} \pm \textbf{3.1}$	$\textbf{4.1} \pm \textbf{3.8}$	$\textbf{4.2}\pm\textbf{3.8}$	$\textbf{3.8}\pm\textbf{3.2}$	$\textbf{3.9}\pm\textbf{3.2}$	$\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{3.5}$
Margarine, g/day	13.7 ± 15.2	$\textbf{11.3} \pm \textbf{12.9}$	10.5 ± 12.5	$\textbf{9.8} \pm \textbf{12.3}$	11.8 ± 14.9	$\textbf{9.1} \pm \textbf{11.9}$	$\textbf{8.1} \pm \textbf{11.2}$	$\textbf{7.5} \pm \textbf{11.1}$
Butter, g/day	1.1 ± 2.7	1.5 ± 2.7	$\textbf{1.9} \pm \textbf{2.9}$	$\textbf{2.3}\pm\textbf{3.2}$	1.2 ± 3.0	$\textbf{1.5}\pm\textbf{2.9}$	$\textbf{1.8}\pm\textbf{3.0}$	$\textbf{2.1}\pm\textbf{3.2}$
Mayonnaise, g/day	4.1 ± 5.5	$\textbf{3.3} \pm \textbf{4.2}$	$\textbf{3.5} \pm \textbf{4.5}$	$\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{5.5}$	4.0 ± 5.6	$\textbf{3.3} \pm \textbf{4.4}$	$\textbf{3.4} \pm \textbf{4.6}$	$\textbf{3.4} \pm \textbf{4.9}$
All other types of fat,* g/day	$\textbf{32.2} \pm \textbf{20.6}$	$\textbf{28.8} \pm \textbf{17.5}$	$\textbf{28.7} \pm \textbf{17.4}$	$\textbf{28.9} \pm \textbf{18.2}$	$\textbf{31.1} \pm \textbf{21.2}$	$\textbf{27.0} \pm \textbf{17.5}$	$\textbf{26.1} \pm \textbf{17.1}$	$\textbf{25.8} \pm \textbf{17.3}$
Multivitamin supplement use	50.8	59.5	62.2	61.8	47.1	55.0	56.9	56.5
Aspirin use	47.0	49.8	50.3	48.7	57.0	65.4	68.1	66.9

Values are mean \pm SD or %, and are standardized to the age distribution of the study population. Characteristics of participants are presented using updated variables. *All other fat is the sum of dairy fat, other plant oils, margarine, and mayonnaise.

BMI = body mass index; MET = metabolic equivalent task; TBS = tablespoon.

risk of CVD (95% CI: 0.91 to 0.97; p < 0.001) (Central Illustration). The respective HR estimate for butter was 0.95 (95% CI: 0.91 to 1.00; p = 0.06). For mayonnaise, the HR was 0.93 (95% CI: 0.89 to 0.98; p < 0.001). Replacing 5 g/day of dairy fat for the same amount of olive oil was associated with 5% lower risk of CVD (95% CI: 0.92 to 0.98; p < 0.001). Substituting olive oil for other plant oils was not significantly associated with CVD. Similar results were observed for CHD and no significant associations were observed for stroke (Central Illustration).

When we adjusted for multiple testing using the Bonferroni corrections, the main results and conclusions did not change, as the p values for the pooled analyses were <0.001.

In the sensitivity analysis without stop updating diet, associations for 5-g/day increase in olive oil

intake were consistent. The pooled HRs were 0.95 (95% CI: 0.89 to 0.99) for CVD, 0.93 (95% CI: 0.86 to 0.98) for CHD, and 0.97 (95% CI: 0.89 to 1.06) for stroke. When using the most recent diet measurement, the respective HR estimates were 0.93 (95% CI: 0.89 to 0.97) for CVD, 0.91 (95% CI: 0.86 to 0.96) for CHD, and 0.96 (95% CI: 0.90 to 1.01) for stroke. The results for the main analysis remained unchanged when the models were adjusted for the AHEI score (Supplemental Table 5). When the models were adjusted for updated history of diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia, the pooled multivariable HR for CVD was 0.94 (95% CI: 0.91 to, 0.97; p < 0.001) in the pooled models.

In secondary analyses in a subpopulation of the 3 cohorts with available biomarker data, higher olive oil intake was associated with lower levels of several

TABLE 2 Risk of Cardiovascular Events According to Categories of Total Olive Oil Intake							
			RR (95% CI)				
	Never or <1 per Month	>0 to ≤4.5 g/day (>0 to ≤1 Teaspoon)	>4.5 to ≤7 g/day (>1 Teaspoon to ≤0.5 TBS)	>7 g/day (>0.5 TBS)	p Value for Trend	HR (95% CI) for 5-g Increase in Olive Oil Intake	
Total CVD: fatal and nonfatal myocardial infarction + fatal and nonfatal stroke							
NHS olive oil							
Mean total	0	1.5 ± 1.2	5.6 ± 0.7	11.7 ± 5.7			
No. of cases/person-yrs	1,971/399,686	2,658/638,583	367/106,313	491/150,743			
Incidence rate, %	0.49	0.41	0.34	0.32			
Age-adjusted model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.81 (0.77-0.86)	0.72 (0.64-0.81)	0.69 (0.62-0.76)	<0.001	0.87 (0.84-0.90)	
Multivariable model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.90 (0.85-0.96)	0.86 (0.76-0.96)	0.86 (0.77-0.95)	0.01	0.94 (0.90-0.97)	
Multivariable model 3	1.00 (Ref.)	0.92 (0.86-0.97)	0.88 (0.78-0.98)	0.88 (0.79-0.98)	0.05	0.95 (0.91-0.99)	
HPFS olive oil							
Mean total	0	1.5 ± 1.2	5.6 ± 0.7	11.2 ± 5.4			
No. of cases/person-yrs	1,696/191,480	2,041/308,406	258/45,995	315/55,468			
Incidence rate, %	0.88	0.66	0.56	0.56			
Age-adjusted model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.79 (0.74-0.85)	0.69 (0.60-0.78)	0.72 (0.64-0.82)	<0.001	0.88 (0.84,-0.93)	
Multivariable model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.85 (0.80-0.91)	0.77 (0.67-0.88)	0.82 (0.72-0.93)	0.001	0.93 (0.88-0.97)	
Multivariable model 3	1.00 (Ref.)	0.86 (0.80-0.92)	0.77 (0.68-0.89)	0.83 (0.73-0.94)	0.004	0.93 (0.89-0.98)	
Pooled model 3	1.00 (Ref.)	0.89 (0.85-0.93)	0.83 (0.76-0.91)	0.86 (0.79-0.94)	<0.001	0.94 (0.92-0.97)	
CHD: fatal and nonfatal myocardial infarction							
NHS							
No. of cases/person-yrs	1,078/400,215	1,373/639,428	181/106,445	250/150,908			
Incidence rate	0.27	0.21	0.17	0.16			
Age-adjusted model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.79 (0.72-0.85)	0.66 (0.56-0.77)	0.65 (0.57-0.75)	<0.001	0.84 (0.79-0.89)	
Multivariable model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.89 (0.82-0.96)	0.81 (0.69-0.95)	0.84 (0.73-0.98)	0.03	0.92 (0.87-0.97)	
Multivariable model 3	1.00 (Ref.)	0.91 (0.84-0.99)	0.84 (0.72-0.99)	0.89 (0.76-1.03)	0.13	0.94 (0.89-0.99)	
HPFS							
No. of cases/person-yrs	1,310/191,843	1,440/308,891	193/46,046	209/55,564			
Incidence rate, %	0.68	0.46	0.42	0.37			
Age-adjusted model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.73 (0.68-0.79)	0.68 (0.58-0.79)	0.63 (0.54-0.73)	<0.001	0.86 (0.81-0.91)	
Multivariable model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.80 (0.74-0.86)	0.78 (0.66-0.91)	0.74 (0.63-0.86)	<0.001	0.91 (0.86-0.97)	
Multivariable model 3	1.00 (Ref.)	0.81 (0.75-0.87)	0.79 (0.67-0.92)	0.75 (0.64-0.87)	0.001	0.92 (0.87-0.98)	
Pooled model 3	1.00 (Ref.)	0.85 (0.81-0.89)	0.81 (0.73-0.91)	0.82 (0.73-0.91)	0.001	0.93 (0.89-0.97)	
Stroke: fatal and nonfatal stroke							
NHS							
No. of cases/person-yrs	906/400,164	1,308/639,362	185/106,427	245/150,884			
Incidence rate, %	0.22	0.20	0.17	0.16			
Age-adjusted model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.88 (0.81-0.96)	0.81 (0.69-0.95)	0.77 (0.67-0.89)	0.001	0.91 (0.86-0.96)	
Multivariable model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.95 (0.87-1.04)	0.91 (0.78-1.07)	0.90 (0.77-1.04)	0.17	0.96 (0.91-1.01)	
Multivariable model 3	1.00 (Ref.)	0.95 (0.87-1.04)	0.92 (0.78-1.09)	0.92 (0.79-1.07)	0.31	0.97 (0.91-1.02)	
HPFS							
No. of cases/person-yrs	386/192,081	601/309,197	65/46,093	106/55,573			
Incidence rate, %	0.20	0.19	0.14	0.19			
Age-adjusted model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	1.00 (0.87-1.14)	0.73 (0.56-0.95)	1.02 (0.82-1.27)	0.43	0.95 (0.88-1.04)	
Multivariable model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	1.02 (0.89-1.17)	0.75 (0.58-0.99)	1.07 (0.86-1.34)	0.69	0.99 (0.97-1.02)	
Multivariable model 3	1.00 (Ref.)	1.03 (0.90-1.18)	0.75 (0.57-0.99)	1.07 (0.85-1.35)	0.68	0.99 (0.97-1.02)	
Pooled model 3	1.00 (Ref.)	0.99 (0.97-1.02)	0.90 (0.80-1.01)	0.95 (0.85-1.12)	0.29	0.96 (0.92-1.01)	

Model 2 was adjusted for the following: age (years); ethnicity (white, nonwhite); Southern European and/or Mediterranean ancestry (yes, no); smoking status (never, former, current smoker 1 to 14 cigarettes per day, 15 to 24 cigarettes per day; or \geq 25 cigarettes per day); alcohol intake (0, 0.1 to 4.9, 5.0 to 9.9, 10.0 to 14.9, and \geq 15.0 g/day); physical activity (<3.0, 3.0 to 8.9, 9.0 to 17.9, 18.0 to 26.9, \geq 27.0 MET-h/week); family history of diabetes (yes/no); family history of myocardial infarction (yes/no); family history of cancer (yes/no); baseline diabetes mellitus (yes/no); baseline hypercholesterolemia or cholesterol-lowering medication use (yes/no); multivitamin use (yes/no); aspirin use (yes/no); in women, postmenopausal status and menopausal hormone use (neuropausal, postmenopausal [no, past, or current hormone use]); total energy intake (kcal/day); and BMI kg/m². Model 3 was additionally adjusted for red meat, fruits and vegetables, nuts, soda, whole grains intake (in quintiles), and trans fat. Results were pooled with the use of fixed-effect models. Adjusting for multiple testing using the Bonferroni corrections did not change the main results, as the p values for the pooled analyses were <0.001.

CI = confidence interval; CVD = cardiovascular disease; HPFS = Health Professionals Follow-up Study; HR = hazard ratio; NHS = Nurses' Health Study; Ref = reference value; RR = relative risk; other abbreviations as in Table 1.

TABLE 3 Subgroup Analyses for Risk of Total CVD According to Olive Oil Intake									
	NHS HR (95% CI)	p Value for Interaction	HPFS Adjusted HR (95% CI)	p Value for Interaction	Pooled Adjusted HR (95% CI)	p Value for Interaction			
Age, yrs									
<65	0.83 (0.74-0.92)	0.01	0.94 (0.85-1.04)	0.82	0.90 (0.85-0.97)	0.54			
≥65	0.97 (0.93-1.01)		0.93 (0.88-0.98)		0.95 (0.92-0.99)				
BMI, kg/m ²									
<25	0.95 (0.91-0.98)	0.46	0.94 (0.88-1.00)	0.80	0.94 (0.90-1.00)	0.80			
≥25	0.94 (0.89-0.99)		0.93 (0.86-1.00)		0.94 (0.88-0.98)				
Family history of myocardial infarction									
No	0.97 (0.92-1.01)	0.04	0.95 (0.89-1.01)	0.11	0.96 (0.91-1.01)	0.08			
Yes	0.88 (0.81-0.96)		0.89 (0.82-0.97)		0.89 (0.82-0.96)				
Ancestry									
Southern European and/or Mediterranean	0.95 (0.90-0.99)	0.95	0.93 (0.87-0.98)	0.71	0.94 (0.90-0.98)	0.82			
Other	0.94 (0.87-1.02)		0.93 (0.85-1.01)		0.94 (0.86-1.01)				
AHEI									
Below median	0.96 (0.90-1.02)	0.88	0.91 (0.83-0.99)	0.72	0.94 (0.90-0.99)	0.59			
Above median	0.94 (0.89-0.99)		0.95 (0.89-1.01)		0.95 (0.89-0.99)				
AMED									
Below median	0.93 (0.87-1.00)	0.48	0.92 (0.85-1.00)	0.92	0.92 (0.87-1.00)	0.29			
Above median	0.95 (0.90-1.00)		0.94 (0.88-1.00)		0.95 (0.90-1.00)				
Total vegetable intake									
Below median	0.94 (0.88-0.99)	0.42	0.88 (0.79-0.96)	0.21	0.92 (0.85-0.97)	0.12			
Above median	0.95 (0.91-1.00)		0.96 (0.90-1.02)		0.96 (0.91-1.00)				
Green vegetable intake									
Below median	0.96 (0.90-1.02)	0.64	0.90 (0.82-0.99)	0.30	0.94 (0.90-1.00)	0.48			
Above median	0.93 (0.88-0.98)		0.96 (0.90-1.02)		0.93 (0.89-0.98)				
Lettuce intake									
Below median	0.97 (0.91-1.03)	0.48	0.91 (0.83-1.00)	0.27	0.95 (0.90-1.00)	0.80			
Above median	0.93 (0.88-0.98)		0.96 (0.91-1.02)		0.95 (0.91-0.98)				

HRs for 5-g increase in olive oil intake in each subgroup category. Multivariable model was adjusted for the following: age; ethnicity (white, nonwhite); Southern European and/or Mediterranean ancestry; smoking status (never, former, current smoker 1 to 14 cigarettes per day, 15 to 24 cigarettes per day, or \geq 25 cigarettes per day); alcohol intake (0, 0.1 to 4.9, 5.0 to 9.9, 10.0 to 14.9, and \geq 15.0 g/day); physical activity (<3.0, 3.0 to 8.9, 9.0 to 17.9, 18.0 to 26.9, \geq 27.0 MET-h/week); family history of diabetes; family history of novcardial infarction; family history of cancer; baseline diabetes meltius; baseline hypertension or antihypertensive medication use; baseline hypercholesterolemia or cholesterol-lowering medication use; multivitamin use; aspirin use; in women, postmenopausal status and menopausal hormone use; total energy intake; BMI; red meat, fruits and vegetables, nuts, soda, whole grains intake (in quintiles); and trans fat. No adjustments for multiple testing were made for these results.

AHEI = Alternative Healthy Eating Index score; AMED = Alternate Mediterranean Diet; other abbreviations as in Tables 1 and 2.

inflammatory biomarkers including interleukin-6 (p = 0.006), soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (p = 0.05), and tumor necrosis factor- α receptor 2 (p = 0.007) (Figure 1). For blood lipids, higher olive oil intake was associated with higher levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (p = 0.004). No significant associations were observed for low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (Supplemental Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

In 2 large prospective cohorts followed for 24 years, we found inverse associations between olive oil consumption and the incidence of cardiovascular events after adjusting for cardiovascular risk factors (**Central Illustration**). As compared with nonconsumers, those with higher consumption of olive oil had 14% lower risk of CVD and 18% lower risk of CHD. Results were consistent across all subgroups, including participants with and without Southern European ancestries. In addition, it was estimated that compared with margarine, butter, mayonnaise, and dairy fat, olive oil was associated with lower risk of CVD and CHD, whereas when compared with other plant oils combined, olive oil was not associated with CVD. The present work generates new evidence suggesting that replacement of more saturated fats, such as butter and margarine, with healthy plantbased fats, such as olive oil, is beneficial for the primary prevention of CVD. Of note, during the earlier part of the follow-up, many margarines contained substantial amounts of trans fatty acids and the results may not apply to current margarines. Furthermore, higher olive oil intake was associated with lower levels of inflammatory biomarkers and a better



CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION Hazard Ratios for Cardiovascular Disease, Coronary Heart Disease, and

Hazard ratios (HRs) for cardiovascular disease (CVD), coronary heart disease (CHD), and stroke associated with substitution of 5 g/day of olive oil for equivalent amounts of other fats. Multivariate-adjusted models were adjusted for the following: age (years); ethnicity (white, nonwhite); Southern European and/or Mediterranean ancestry; smoking status (never, former, current smoker 1 to 14 cigarettes per day, 15 to 24 cigarettes per day, or \geq 25 cigarettes per day); alcohol intake (0, 0.1 to 4.9, 5.0 to 9.9, 10.0 to 14.9, and \geq 15.0 g/day); physical activity (<3.0, 3.0 to 8.9, 9.0 to 17.9, 18.0 to 26.9, \geq 27.0 metabolic equivalent task-hours/week); family history of diabetes; family history of myocardial infarction; family history of cancer; baseline diabetes mellitus; baseline hypertension or antihypertensive medication use; baseline hypercholesterolemia or cholesterol-lowering medication use; multivitamin use; aspirin use; in women, postmenopausal status and menopausal hormone use (premenopausal, postmenopausal [no, past, or current hormone use]); total energy intake (kcal/day); body mass index (kq/m²); red meat, fruits and vegetables, nuts, soda, whole grains intake (in quintiles); trans fat; and mutually adjusted for other types of fat. Results were pooled with the use of the fixed-effects model. Horizontal lines represent 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

> lipid profile, suggesting that moderate olive oil intake could have some benefits on surrogate markers of CVD.

> Existing published data support the association between olive oil intake and lower incidence of cardiovascular risk factors and chronic diseases (5). However, most of the previous studies have been conducted in Mediterranean and European populations (6,8,9,18-21), where the average intake of olive oil and its between-person variability is higher than in the U.S. population. In the current study, the mean intake of olive oil was 12 g/day, whereas in Mediterranean populations, such as the Spanish

participants of the PREDIMED study, the mean intake of olive oil at baseline was as high as 40 g/day (8). Moreover, some of the studies have been conducted in participants who had already experienced CVD or who were at high cardiovascular risk (8,19). Our findings provide further evidence that olive oil is associated with a lower risk of CVD in healthy U.S. adults. Notably, and as shown in our supplemental graph (Supplemental Figure 1), the intake of olive oil has become more popular in the United States in recent years.

Our findings are in line with previous observational studies showing that olive oil consumption is



hormone use (premenopausal, postmenopausal [no, past, or current hormone use]); total energy intake; trans, saturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids;

and case-control status in original substudies. CI = confidence interval; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein; sICAM = soluble intercellular adhesion molecule; TNF- α -R2 = tumor necrosis factor- α receptor 1 and 2.

inversely associated with CVD in Mediterranean populations (6-8,21). In the EPIC (European Prospective Investigation Into Cancer and Nutrition)-Spain cohort, each 10-g/day increase in olive oil intake was associated with a 7% lower CHD risk after 10 years of follow-up (7). Findings from the PREDIMED trial, demonstrated that a Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra virgin olive oil reduced the risk of a composite of cardiovascular events by 31% (95% CI: 0.53 to 0.91) in a population at high cardiovascular risk (9). In a secondary analysis of the PRE-DIMED study, for each 10-g/day increase in total olive oil intake, CVD and CVD mortality risk was 13% and 16% lower, respectively (8). Regular consumption of olive oil was also associated with a 44% lower risk of CHD after 7.8 years of follow-up in Italian women who were survivors of myocardial infarction (6).

A recent meta-analysis of case-control, cohort, and intervention studies concluded that epidemiological studies consistently demonstrate associations between olive oil intake and a reduced risk of stroke (as well as stroke and CHD combined), but no significant association for risk of CHD (10). These findings are somewhat different from our results showing stronger associations for CHD than stroke when those outcomes were analyzed separately. Olive oil consumption was lower in our cohorts compared with in the included cohorts where estimates for continuous variable were reported for 25-g increase. There is a possibility that the effect of polyphenolic components of olive oil, which are present in higher amounts in the virgin olive oil variety of olive oil, may contribute to lower risk of stroke (10). Given our findings, it would be of interest for future studies investigating the associations with stroke to test higher intakes of olive oil, including specific olive oil varieties.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to estimate the impact of replacing specific types of fat with olive oil in relation to the incidence of CVD. We projected that replacing other types of more saturated fat with olive oil was associated with a lower risk of total CVD and CHD. These findings are consistent with evidence that substitution of fats high in SFAs or trans isomers, which increase low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, with fats higher in unsaturated fatty acids (UFAs) can be beneficial for CVD prevention (22). A recent randomized controlled trial of replacing SFAs with walnuts or vegetable oils showed reduced central diastolic blood pressure and improved blood lipid profile (23). Our secondary analysis, confirmed that olive oil intake was associated with increased levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Moreover, in a randomized controlled trial including 92 participants with abdominal obesity and relatively low highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations, replacing SFAs from butter or cheese with either MUFA- or PUFA-rich plant oils had major benefits on blood lipids (24).

Replacement of SFAs with UFAs from olive oil is a strategy that aligns with current dietary guidelines and recommendations to reduce the risk of cardiovascular outcomes (2). Recent studies have suggested that when MUFAs from plant sources replaced MUFAs from animal sources and SFAs, lower risk of CHD and CVD mortality were observed (25,26). Controlled feeding studies that examined vegetable oils rich in MUFAs, including olive oil, high-oleic-acid sunflower oil, high-oleic acid canola oil, and nuts, have consistently demonstrated beneficial effects of higher intake of these oils on reducing cardiovascular risk factors (23). Therefore, consumption of other plant oils could also be a healthy alternative when compared with animal fats, especially because they tend to be more affordable than olive oil is in the United States. However, further research is needed to confirm the effects of plant oils on health outcomes.

Olive oil is high in oleic acid and is less susceptible to oxidation than more UFAs (27). It has also been observed that olive oil can have favorable effects on endothelial dysfunction, hypertension, inflammation, insulin sensitivity, and diabetes (3,5,28). Experimental studies and clinical trials have shown that olive oil, especially the virgin olive oil variety that is richer in polyphenolic compounds and other bioactive molecules, is associated with lower risk of CVD and its risk factors due to its antioxidant capacity (5). Our results showed that higher olive oil intake was associated with lower levels of inflammatory biomarkers and a better lipid profile. It is likely that higher intake of olive oil, and especially the virgin olive oil varieties, might have stronger inverse associations with inflammatory and lipid biomarkers. Despite olive oil being a high-fat, highenergy food, it has not been associated with weight gain (29).

STUDY STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS. The strengths of the present study include the large sample size, long-term and high rates of follow-up, use of repeated measurements of diet and lifestyle variables, the use of a validated FFQ, and analyses of several CVD outcomes including fatal and nonfatal

CVD, CHD, and stroke. Our analyses were extended by including secondary analysis on biomarkers that are surrogate markers of CVD. The limitations of the present study also deserve consideration. First, because of the observational design, a causal association was not demonstrated and residual confounding remains a possibility even though the analyses were extensively adjusted for potential confounders. Second, these analyses were conducted in cohorts of predominantly non-Hispanic white nurses and health professionals, which minimizes potential confounding by socioeconomic status but may limit the generalizability. Still, there is no reason to expect that the underlying biological mechanisms may be different in other ethnic groups. Third, although validated, the FFQ and self-reported diet can produce measurement errors in intake of olive oil and other plant oils. However, the use of repeated measurements reduced random measurement errors caused by within-person variation. Fourth, because this information was not recorded, we could not distinguish between the different olive oil varieties. Finally, because we have conducted a large number of statistical tests it is possible that some of them were incorrectly discovered. Although not necessary due to the study design, when Bonferroni corrections with a more conservative p value for multiple testing were applied, the main results and conclusions remained unchanged.

CONCLUSIONS

In this large study of U.S. men and women, higher intake of olive oil was associated with significantly lower risk of CVD and CHD. Replacing margarine, butter, mayonnaise, and dairy fat with olive oil was associated with lower incidence of cardiovascular events. Our study provides further evidence that the intake of plant-based healthy fats can improve diet quality and play a role in CVD prevention in the general population.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS The authors thank the participants and staff of the NHS and HPFS for their valuable contributions.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Marta Guasch-Ferré, Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 655 Huntington Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115. E-mail: mguasch@hsph.harvard.edu. Twitter: @MartaGuasch1.

PERSPECTIVES

COMPETENCY IN PATIENT CARE AND

PROCEDURAL SKILLS: Dietary intake of olive oil was inversely associated with CVD in general and coronary artery disease in particular in 2 large cohorts in the United States, and replacing other types of fat such as margarine, butter, mayonnaise, and dairy fat (but not plant oils) with olive oil is associated with lower cardiovascular risk. **TRANSLATIONAL OUTLOOK:** Further research is needed to reveal the mechanisms underlying the associations between olive oil consumption and cardiovascular risk and identify population groups most likely to benefit from replacement of saturated and animal fats with unsaturated plant oils, such as olive oil.

REFERENCES

1. Mente A, de Koning L, Shannon HS, Anand SS. A systematic review of the evidence supporting a causal link between dietary factors and coronary heart disease. Arch Intern Med 2009;169:659-69.

2. U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Scientific Report of the 2015 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee. Washington, DC: U.S. Departments of Agriculture and Health and Human Services, 2015.

3. Gaforio JJ, Visioli F, Alarcón-de-la-Lastra C, et al. Virgin olive oil and health: summary of the III International Conference on Virgin Olive Oil and Health Consensus Report, JAEN (Spain) 2018. Nutrients 2019;11:E2039.

4. Keys A. Olive oil and coronary heart disease. Lancet 1987;1:983-4.

5. Ruiz-Canela M, Martínez-González MA. Olive oil in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. Maturitas 2011;68:245-50.

6. Bendinelli B, Masala G, Saieva C, et al. Fruit, vegetables, and olive oil and risk of coronary heart disease in Italian women: the EPICOR Study. Am J Clin Nutr 2011;93:275–83.

7. Buckland G, Travier N, Barricarte A, et al. Olive oil intake and CHD in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition Spanish cohort. Br J Nutr 2012;108:2075-82.

 Guasch-Ferré M, Hu FB, Martínez-González MA, et al. Olive oil intake and risk of cardiovascular disease and mortality in the PREDIMED Study. BMC Med 2014;12:78.

9. Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvadó J, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a mediterranean diet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or nuts. N Engl J Med 2018;378:e34.

10. Martínez-González MA, Dominguez LJ, Delgado-Rodríguez M. Olive oil consumption and risk of CHD and/or stroke: a meta-analysis of casecontrol, cohort and intervention studies. Br Jr Nutr 2014;112:248-59.

11. Colditz GA, Manson JE, Hankinson SE. The Nurses' Health Study: 20-year contribution to the understanding of health among women. J Womens Health 1997;6:49–62. **12.** Rimm EB, Giovannucci EL, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Litin LB, Willett WC. Reproducibility and validity of an expanded self-administered semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire among male health professionals. Am J Epidemiol 1992:135:1114–26.

13. Walker AE, Robins M, Weinfeld FD. The National Survey of Stroke: clinical findings. Stroke 1981;12:113-44.

14. Yuan C, Spiegelman D, Rimm EB, et al. Relative validity of nutrient intakes assessed by questionnaire, 24-hour recalls, and diet records as compared with urinary recovery and plasma concentration biomarkers: findings for women. Am J Epidemiol 2018;187:1051-63.

15. Harvard TH. Chan School of Public Health Nutrition Department. Food Composition Table. Available at: https://regepi.bwh.harvard.edu/ health/nutrition/. Accessed March 11, 2020.

16. Pai JK, Pischon T, Ma J, et al. Inflammatory markers and the risk of coronary heart disease in men and women. N Engl J Med 2004;351: 2599-610.

17. Li J, Rice MS, Huang T, et al. Circulating prolactin concentrations and risk of type 2 diabetes in US women. Diabetologia 2018;61:2549-60.

18. Buckland G, Mayen AL, Agudo A, et al. Olive oil intake and mortality within the Spanish population (EPIC-Spain). Am J Clin Nutr 2012;96:142-9.

19. Fernández-Jarne E, Martínez-Losa E, Prado-Santamaría M, Brugarolas-Brufau C, Serrano-Martínez M, Martínez-González MA. Risk of first non-fatal myocardial infarction negatively associated with olive oil consumption: a casecontrol study in Spain. Int J Epidemiol 2002;31: 474-80.

20. Dilis V, Katsoulis M, Lagiou P, Trichopoulos D, Naska A, Trichopoulou A. Mediterranean diet and CHD: the Greek European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition cohort. Br J Nutr 2012;108:699-709.

21. Misirli G, Benetou V, Lagiou P, Bamia C, Trichopoulos D, Trichopoulou A. Relation of the traditional Mediterranean diet to cerebrovascular disease in a Mediterranean population. Am J Epidemiol 2012;176:1185-92.

22. Mozaffarian D, Micha R, Wallace S. Effects on coronary heart disease of increasing poly-unsaturated fat in place of saturated fat: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PLoS Med 2010;7:e1000252.

23. Tindall AM, Petersen KS, Skulas-Ray AC, Richter CK, Proctor DN, Kris-Etherton PM. Replacing saturated fat with walnuts or vegetable oils improves central blood pressure and serum lipids in adults at risk for cardiovascular disease: a randomized controlled-feeding trial. J Am Heart Assoc 2019;8:e011512.

24. Brassard D, Tessier-Grenier M, Allaire J, et al. Comparison of the impact of SFAs from cheese and butter on cardiometabolic risk factors: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 2017;105:800-9.

25. Zong G, Li Y, Sampson L, et al. Monounsaturated fats from plant and animal sources in relation to risk of coronary heart disease among US men and women. Am J Clin Nutr 2018;107:445-53.

26. Guasch-Ferré M, Zong G, Willett WC, et al. Associations of monounsaturated fatty acids from plant and animal sources with total and causespecific mortality in two US prospective cohort studies. Circ Res 2019;124:1266-75.

27. Covas MI, Konstantinidou V, Fito M. Olive oil and cardiovascular health. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2009;54:477-82.

28. Tierney AC, Roche HM. The potential role of olive oil-derived MUFA in insulin sensitivity. Mol Nutr Food Res 2007;51:1235-48.

29. Estruch R, Martínez-González MA, Corella D, et al., for the PREDIMED Study Investigators. Effect of a high-fat Mediterranean diet on body-weight and waist circumference: a prespecified secondary outcomes analysis of the PREDIMED randomised controlled trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2019;7:e6-17.

KEY WORDS cardiovascular disease, coronary heart disease, olive oil, plant oils, stroke

APPENDIX For supplemental figures and tables, please see the online version of this paper.