

Influence of cinnamon on glycemic control in subjects with prediabetes: a randomized controlled trial

Giulio R. Romeo^{1*#}, Junhee Lee^{2,3*}, Christopher M. Mulla^{1,4*}, Youngmin Noh^{1,2}, Casey Holden¹, Byung-Cheol Lee^{2,5#}

¹Joslin Diabetes Center, One Joslin Place, Boston, MA 02115, USA;

²Korean Medicine Clinical Trial Center, Kyung Hee University Korean Medicine Hospital, 23 Kyungheedaero, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02447, Republic of Korea;

³Department of Sasang Constitutional Medicine, College of Korean Medicine, Kyung Hee University, 26 Kyungheedaero, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02447, Republic of Korea;

⁴Landstuhl Regional Medical Center, US Army, Landstuhl 66849, Germany;

⁵Department of Clinical Korean Medicine, College of Korean Medicine, Kyung Hee University, 26 Kyungheedaero, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02447, Republic of Korea

Correspondence: Giulio.romeo@joslin.harvard.edu; hydrolee@khu.ac.kr

* These Authors have equally contributed to this paper.

Keywords: prediabetes; prevention; cinnamon; glucose tolerance; oxidative stress.

Reprint Request: Giulio R. Romeo, MD,
Joslin Diabetes Center,
One Joslin Place,
Boston, MA 02215
E-mail: Giulio.romeo@joslin.harvard.edu

© Endocrine Society 2020. js.2020-00112. See endocrine.org/publications for Accepted Manuscript disclaimer and additional information.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Grant support: This study was supported by the Traditional Korean Medicine Research and Development program funded by the Ministry of Health and Welfare through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI) (grant no. HI13C0700).

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov on July 17, 2017 (NCT03219411)

Disclosure summary: The authors have nothing to disclose.

Accepted Manuscript

Abstract

Context: The identification of adjunct safe, durable, and cost-effective approaches to reduce the progression from prediabetes to type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a clinically relevant, unmet goal. It is unknown if cinnamon's glucose-lowering properties can be leveraged in individuals with prediabetes.

Objective: To investigate the effects of cinnamon on measures of glucose homeostasis in prediabetes.

Design, Setting, Participants, and Intervention: This double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial randomized adult subjects meeting any criteria for prediabetes to receive cinnamon 500 mg or placebo thrice daily (n=27/group). Participants were enrolled and followed at two academic centers for 12 weeks.

Main outcome measures: Primary outcome was the between-group difference in fasting plasma glucose (FPG) at 12 weeks from baseline. Secondary endpoints included the change in 2-hr PG of the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), and the change in the PG area under the curve (AUC) derived from the OGTT.

Results: From a similar baseline, FPG rose after 12 weeks with placebo but remained stable with cinnamon, leading to a mean between-group difference of 5 mg/dL ($P<0.05$). When compared to the respective baseline, cinnamon, but not placebo, resulted in a significant decrease of the AUC PG ($P<0.001$) and of the 2-hr PG of the OGTT ($P<0.05$). There were no serious adverse events in either study group.

Conclusions: In individuals with prediabetes, 12 weeks of cinnamon supplementation improved FPG and glucose tolerance, with a favorable safety profile. Longer and larger

studies should address cinnamon's effects on the rate of progression from prediabetes to T2D.

Accepted Manuscript

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes affects more than 460 million adults globally (1) and is the seventh leading cause of disability worldwide (2), accounting for more than \$320 billion in health care costs in the US alone (3). The rise in prevalence of diabetes over the past three decades, primarily type 2 diabetes (T2D), has occurred at a particularly fast rate in East and South Asia (4).

Using current diagnostic criteria set forth by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) (5), approximately 38% of the US population has *prediabetes* (6), which remains unrecognized in the vast majority of cases (7). Prediabetes portends an annual progression to T2D ranging from 3 to 11% depending on the population (8-10). The rate of incident T2D is higher in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) who are also at increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD), as compared to patients with impaired fasting glucose (IFG) (11, 12).

As previously reviewed (13), the conversion from prediabetes to T2D can be effectively prevented using lifestyle modifications (LSM) (9, 14-16), anti-diabetic drugs (9, 16-19), weight loss medications (20), and metabolic surgery (21, 22). However, such approaches to T2D prevention have several limitations. First, long-term follow-up, which is available for only a subset of interventions, demonstrates a progressive attenuation of benefits on T2D conversion rate over time (23-25). In addition, both the cost and potential side effects (e.g. weight gain with pioglitazone) can limit adherence to drugs longitudinally. Finally, up to 50% of at-risk patients will develop T2D even within the structured framework of randomized clinical trials (13). Therefore, identification of efficacious, durable, safe, and

cost-effective strategies for T2D prevention remains a clinically relevant unmet need, especially in low- and middle-income countries.

Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) practices, including the use of nutraceuticals, have flourished over recent decades. Based on a 2012 National Health Interview Survey, 18% of adults in the US had used a nonvitamin, nonmineral dietary supplement during the past year (26). Approximately one third of patients with T2D have used CAM, either alone or as adjunct, for treatment of this condition. The evidence for safety and efficacy of nutraceuticals in T2D treatment is sparse and in many instances of low-quality (27, 28).

Extracts of the inner bark of the *Cinnamomum* genus (“cinnamon”) of aromatic trees, commonly used as flavoring agents, have been employed since ancient times for treating arthritis and other inflammatory diseases (29), and are currently marketed as therapeutic supplements for T2D. In experimental models of diabetes, mechanisms invoked for the glucose-lowering activity of cinnamon include increased GLUT4 membrane translocation (30), stimulation of post-prandial levels of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) (31), inhibition of alpha-glucosidase activity (32), and antioxidant properties (33).

Several randomized clinical trial (RCT)s in adult patients with T2D have addressed the effects of various powdered monopreparations of cinnamon, predominantly *Cinnamomum cassia*, on changes in glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), and lipid profile (34, 35). The duration of these studies ranged from 4 weeks to 4 months with daily dosages of compounded cinnamon varying from 500mg to 6,000mg. Notwithstanding significant heterogeneity in study design, the majority of RCTs demonstrated a 10-15% reduction in FPG from baseline whereas changes in HbA1c were

inconsistent and did not reach statistical significance in aggregate (35). Cinnamon's beneficial effects were more pronounced in drug-naïve patients than as add-on therapy, and in patients with high baseline HbA1c (>8%) (34).

In individuals with prediabetes, the evidence from RCTs addressing the impact of cinnamon on glucose homeostasis is more limited. Specifically, it remains unclear if in this population: a) cinnamon affects FPG, glucose tolerance, or both, and b) the response to cinnamon is conserved across ethnic groups.

Here, we present the results of efficacy and safety in a two-country placebo-controlled RCT of cinnamon treatment conducted in participants with prediabetes.

Accepted Manuscript

MATERIALS and METHODS

Participants

This study was a binational, double-blind, placebo-controlled RCT. Participants were recruited at Kyung Hee University Medical Center (Seoul, Republic of Korea) and the Joslin Diabetes Center (Boston, MA, USA) between 2017 and 2018. The study was approved by the ethical board of Kyung Hee University Korean Medicine Hospital, (#KOMCIRB-160318-HR-010) and the Joslin Diabetes Center (#2017-15). This study was exempted from the Investigational New Drug Program by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. All participants provided written informed consent. Studies were conducted using Good Clinical Practice and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants between the ages of 20 to 70 years old were recruited for screening through advertisement brochures posted at the two enrolling clinical centers or if they had a history of dysglycemia consistent with prediabetes. Subjects met study inclusion criteria for prediabetes if they demonstrated one of the following abnormalities (5): impaired fasting glucose (IFG), defined as fasting plasma glucose (FPG) between 100–125 mg/dL; impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), as demonstrated by a 2-hour plasma glucose level of 140–199 mg/dL based on 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT); and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) of 5.7–6.4%. Patients were excluded from screening and enrollment if they had evidence of diabetes mellitus or other significant endocrine, cardiovascular, pulmonary, renal, or liver disease, or intentional weight loss of ≥ 10 lbs within the past six months. Further exclusion criteria included pregnancy, therapy with corticosteroids, any drug or supplement with glucose-lowering activity, or any

investigational drugs. The full list of exclusion criteria is available at the trial registration webpage on ClinicalTrials.gov (see below).

Study Funding and Oversight

The study was funded by a grant of the Ministry of Health and Welfare (Republic of Korea) awarded to the Korean Medicine Clinical Trial Center (K-CTC) at Kyung Hee University Medical Center as part of a collaboration with the Joslin Diabetes Center (JDC). Data and safety monitoring was conducted by an independent committee from K-CTC with periodic on-site review of study centers. The trial was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov on July 17, 2017 (NCT03219411).

Study protocol

Screening procedures occurred after a minimum 8-hour overnight fast and included anthropometric measurements, HbA1c, FPG, fasting insulin (FI), fasting lipid panel, comprehensive chemistry, and a standard 75-g OGTT. Eligible participants were randomly assigned 1:1 to receive cinnamon capsule 500 mg or placebo three times a day for 12 weeks.

Randomization was performed by a statistician using PROC PLAN of SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). To ensure a 1:1 allocation ratio of patients to cinnamon and placebo groups at each site, randomization was stratified by each site employing a random block design. All study participants and staff were blinded to treatment assignment until study completion.

The cinnamon capsules contained 300 mg of cinnamon extract (*Cinnamomum* spp.) and 200 mg of *Cinnamomum burmannii* powder (Solgar Inc. NJ, USA). The placebo, which contained cellulose (91.5%), caramel food coloring (8.4%), and cinnamon

incense (0.1%) (BioFood Technology Center, Naju-si, Jeollanam-do, Republic of Korea) did not contain any active substances. Overall appearance, weight, and organoleptic properties of cinnamon and placebo capsules were identical.

Of the 60 screened participants, 54 were enrolled in the study, which was completed by 51 participants (39 at Kyung Hee University Medical Center and 12 at JDC) (Figure 1A). As shown in Figure 1B, screening was performed at visit 1, and eligible participants were randomized to placebo or cinnamon within 2 weeks (visit 2). Visit 3 and 4 occurred six and twelve weeks after randomization, respectively. The safety follow-up (Visit 5) was performed 1 to 2 weeks after visit 4. Compliance with capsule administration was assessed at visit 3 and visit 4 by counting capsules returned by the patient, if any, and self-reported adherence to the thrice daily regimen.

Primary and secondary endpoints

The primary outcome measure was the between-group difference in FPG at 12 weeks from baseline. Pre-specified efficacy secondary endpoints included: the change at 6 weeks from baseline in FPG; the change at 12 weeks from baseline in PG at the 2-hour timepoint of the OGTT; and the change at 12 weeks from baseline in the area under the curve (AUC) of PG derived from the OGTT. Other relevant measure of glucose homeostasis included HbA1c, glycated albumin (GA), homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), which was calculated as $FI (\mu\text{U}/\text{mL}) \times \text{FPG} (\text{mg}/\text{dL})/405$, and (HOMA)-beta cell function (HOMA-B) %, which was calculated as $360 \times FI (\mu\text{U}/\text{mL}) / \text{FPG} (\text{mg}/\text{dL}) - 63$. Finally, a quality of life indicator test was completed using the 36-Item Short Form Survey (SF-36).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS for Windows, version 21.0. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD, unless otherwise specified. The study was powered for detection of clinically significant differences in the primary endpoint of change in FPG. Briefly, the protocol was designed with the plan to enroll 60 participants with an expected 10% dropout, which would provide 90% power to detect a between-treatment difference in FPG means of 9 mg/dL with standard deviation of 10 mg/dL, with type 1 error of 0.05.

Primary and secondary outcomes were analyzed principally using an intent-to-treat approach, unless otherwise noted. Student's t-test (or the Mann–Whitney test) and the Chi-square test (or Fisher's exact test) were used to compare general characteristics within groups, and between the cinnamon and placebo groups. To adjust for potential between-groups differences in PG at baseline, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to compare changes in FPG, and AUC and PG at all timepoints of the OGTT. Statistical tests were two-sided with a significance level of $\alpha=0.05$.

Safety Analysis

Frequency and severity of adverse events (AE), including serious AE (SAE), were recorded and rated as mild, moderate, or severe. In addition, we monitored clinically relevant changes in vital signs and physical examination, and laboratory safety indicators such as CBC, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and creatinine (Cr) levels.

Assessments

OGTT were performed in the morning after an overnight fast and PG levels were measured at baseline and then at 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes after glucose

administration using a YSI 2300 Stat Plus device (Xylem Inc, Rye Brook, NY). Within the plasma glucose range of 75-250 mg/dl, the intra- and inter-assay CV were 0.9% and 1.5%, respectively. PG, and serum insulin and lipoprotein levels were measured in samples collected at baseline, and after 6 and 12 weeks of randomization at an accredited clinical chemistry laboratory at Kyung Hee University Hospital and Joslin Diabetes Center. Glycated albumin (GA) was measured using a commercially available kit in serum samples at baseline, and at the 6- and 12-week timepoint (Lucica GA-L assay; Asahi Kasei Pharma Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Total protein carbonylation was quantitated in serum samples (50 μ l), according to the manufacturer's instructions (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO; cat. #MAK094). Serum proteins carbonyl groups were derivatized with 2,4-dinitrophenyl (DNP)-hydrazine (DNPH) to form stable protein-DNP adducts followed by detection by fluorometry (375 nm). Carbonyl content (nmoles) was calculated as $\text{absorbance}/6.364 \times 100$ (6.364 = extinction coefficient), then normalized by μ l of serum. Only samples collected in participants enrolled at Joslin Diabetes Center were available for this post-hoc analysis.

RESULTS

Subject Characteristics

A total of 54 individuals meeting criteria for prediabetes (5) were enrolled at two sites and randomly assigned to placebo ($n=27$) or cinnamon ($n=27$). The 12-week study period was completed by all participants in the cinnamon group and 24/27 participants enrolled in the placebo group (Figure 1A). Baseline characteristics were well matched in the two groups (Table 1) with the exception of BMI, which was higher in the group randomized to cinnamon. Also, triglycerides' mean at baseline was higher in the placebo group owing to relatively elevated levels in three participants, who however did not meet statistical definition of outliers. The proportion of participants with IFG, IGT, and IFG/IGT was comparable in the cinnamon (22%, 18%, and 48%, respectively) and placebo groups (20%, 13%, and 58% respectively). Three participants in the cinnamon group and two in the placebo group were enrolled solely based on the HbA1c criterion. Adherence to the thrice daily capsule regimen was >90% in both treatment groups and study centers.

Efficacy

FPG levels were similar at enrollment in placebo and cinnamon groups (110 ± 11 vs 109 ± 12 mg/dL, respectively) and were not different after 6 weeks of treatment in either group (109 ± 11 with placebo vs 108 ± 13 mg/dL with cinnamon). Conversely, the assessment at 12 weeks (visit 4) showed that FPG had increased from baseline by an average of 4.5 ± 6 mg/dL with placebo treatment without any significant difference in the cinnamon group, resulting in a statistically significant between-group mean difference of approximately 5 mg/dL (114 ± 8 vs 108 ± 11 ; $p<0.01$; Table 2). Although the present

study was not powered to detect outcome differences between the two study centers, a similar trend in FPG was noted in participants independently randomized at K-CTC and JDC.

With regard to pre-specified secondary end-points, cinnamon resulted in a significant reduction in the AUC of PG during the OGTT from baseline to 12 weeks (21389 ± 3858 , baseline vs 19946 ± 4070 mg/dL/120 min, 12 weeks; $p < 0.05$) whereas no change was observed in the placebo group (Figure 2A). Also, cinnamon but not placebo resulted in a significant decrease in PG at the 2-hr timepoint of the OGTT, from baseline to 12 weeks (-20 ± 27 mg/dL; Figure 2B; $p < 0.01$ for between group difference).

Analysis of HOMA-B showed an increase from baseline to 12 weeks in the cinnamon group ($20.1 \pm 36.8\%$, Table 2; $p < 0.01$), but not with placebo, with a 32% between group-difference at the end of the study period. HOMA-B measurements were comparable at baseline ($92 \pm 40.8\%$ for placebo and $91.4 \pm 50.6\%$ for cinnamon, Table 1). In contrast, cinnamon supplementation had no effect on HOMA-IR (Table 2).

In addition, we observed improvements in long-term measures of glucose levels routinely used in clinical practice. Cinnamon treatment was associated with a modest drop in HbA1c at 12 weeks ($-0.13 \pm 0.25\%$ from $5.98 \pm 0.49\%$ at baseline), with a 0.2% between-group mean difference (Table 2; $p < 0.01$). Similarly, randomization to cinnamon was accompanied by a between-group difference of 0.7% in GA at 12 weeks ($p < 0.01$), which was primarily accounted for by a decrease of $0.45 \pm 0.6\%$ from baseline with cinnamon (Table 2). In keeping with the results for FPG, changes in GA - a marker of glucose levels over three weeks - were not observed at 6 weeks, in either group.

Within the combined pool of participants with IGT and IFG/IGT at baseline, 4/18 in the cinnamon group vs 1/18 with placebo had normalization of the 2-hr PG during OGTT to levels <140 mg/dL. Also, within the group of participants with HbA1c 5.7-6.4% at baseline, 7/14 in the cinnamon group vs 1/10 with placebo had reductions to levels <5.7% at the end of the 12-week randomization period [χ^2 (1, N=24) =4.02, $p<0.05$]. None of the participants with baseline HbA1c 5.7-6.4%, in either group, progressed to HbA1c >6.5%. Although the current study was not designed to assess remission of prediabetes, these preliminary findings suggest that cinnamon treatment could result in recategorization of a number of people with prediabetes to the non-prediabetic population.

Weight, blood pressure, complete blood count, and measures of liver and kidney function were not affected by cinnamon or placebo.

With regard to health-related quality of life measures, none of the SF-36 components (i.e. Physical Component and Mental Component) was changed after 12 weeks on either placebo or cinnamon, from baseline measurements that were comparable between groups.

Exploratory studies

Because of the previously reported effects of cinnamon supplementation on circulating markers of oxidative stress (33), we tested the level of serum carbonylation - a form of oxidation characterized by the introduction of carbon monoxide into a substrate - before and after 12 weeks of placebo or cinnamon. This post-hoc analysis was performed using remaining samples that were only available at one of the two study centers.

Serum protein carbonylation was comparable in the two groups at baseline, and was

reduced by cinnamon treatment (3.3 ± 0.43 , baseline vs 2.2 ± 0.47 , 12 weeks; $n = 6$ /group; $p < 0.01$) but not by placebo treatment (3.2 ± 0.36 , baseline vs 3.1 ± 0.37 , 12 weeks; $n = 6$ /group) (Figure 3A). In addition, the reduction of carbonylation closely correlated with the change in the 2-hr PG during OGTT ($R^2 = 0.87$; $p < 0.01$) (Figure 3B), suggesting a potential link between the cinnamon-mediated effects on glucose homeostasis and oxidative stress.

Safety

The rate of any adverse events (AE) was comparable in participants taking cinnamon, 29.2% (8/27), and placebo, 29.2% (7/24). No serious events (SAE) were reported in either group; all AE were rated mild with the exception of nausea and vomiting in two patients on placebo that were moderate. All AE were self-limiting and were deemed unlikely to be related to the study treatment.

No clinically relevant changes in vital signs, physical exam, or laboratory tests results were observed in either group.

DISCUSSION

This RCT of individuals with prediabetes showed that treatment with cinnamon 500 mg thrice daily resulted in a statistically significant between-group mean difference in FPG of approximately 5 mg/dl at 12 weeks, which was the primary outcome measure of the study. No change in FPG was noted at 6 weeks, which was one of the three pre-specified secondary end-points.

Our data are consistent and extend the results of the study by Roussel et al. (33), which demonstrated a decrease in FPG with 500 mg daily of Cinnulin (aqueous extract of *C. cassia*) in a small group of participants with IFG. Similar to our trial, effects on FPG were observed after 12 weeks of supplementation, but not at 6 weeks. Of note, our larger study enrolled participants meeting any of the criteria for prediabetes (5), thus expanding the relevance of these findings to a larger population.

Cinnamon treatment also exerted beneficial effects on several other end-points. OGTT results showed a significant drop of the PG at 2-hr and of the overall AUC of PG profile after 12 weeks of cinnamon, but not placebo, thus indicating an improvement in glucose tolerance. Our findings are in keeping with previous studies showing that cinnamon extract induces membrane translocation of GLUT-4 in 3T3-L1 adipocytes and partial correction of glucose intolerance in a rat model of T2D (30). The significant decrease in the AUC of PG suggests that reductions in HbA1c and GA with cinnamon, discussed below, result in part from lessening of postprandial hyperglycemia.

Although the lack of information on insulin concentrations during the OGTT prevents any statement regarding the effect of cinnamon on insulin release in response to a glucose load, cinnamon-treated participants displayed higher fasting insulin levels and

an ample increase in HOMA-B % at 12 weeks, when compared to the respective baseline (Table 2). Notwithstanding limitations of static models (36), our findings point to an effect of cinnamon on β -cell function that should be further investigated with more mechanistic studies. In this context, ingestion of rice pudding with 3 grams of cinnamon (*C. cassia*) in healthy participants resulted in a higher peak of GLP-1, when compared to the meal without cinnamon (31).

The correlation between the lowering in the AUC of PG during the OGTT and the reduction in serum protein carbonylation is intriguing. Mohanty et al. first reported an increase in Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) in polymorphonuclear cells of normal subjects following glucose challenge (37); also, fiber intake blunted ROS production induced by a high-fat high-calorie (HFHC) diet in mononuclear cells, in parallel with an increase in insulin secretion (38). Finally, orange juice reduced markers of oxidative stress induced by a HFHC meal in polymorphonuclear cells of healthy participants (39). In this view, our exploratory studies suggest that a reduction in serum protein carbonylation could be a marker for both cinnamon's metabolic effects and its antioxidant activity.

Furthermore, cinnamon had significant impact on long-term indexes of dysglycemia, including a between-group difference of -0.2% in HbA1c and -0.7% in GA at 12 weeks. The concordant trend of HbA1c and GA is noteworthy because participants in the cinnamon group had a small reduction in hemoglobin, which could be a caveat when interpreting HbA1c (40). Within a population with prediabetes, relatively small changes in HbA1c can be clinically meaningful. The EPIC-Norfolk study estimated that 10% of

total mortality could be prevented by lowering HbA1c by 0.2% (41), from a baseline of 5.0-6.9% that overlaps the HbA1c range in our trial (i.e. 5.7-6.0%).

Cinnamon was overall well tolerated with mild AE reported in a total of 29% of participants, with a frequency nearly identical to the placebo group and without any prevailing pattern in the frequency of specific AE.

Our study has several strengths. First, this is the largest RCT testing the effects of cinnamon on glucose homeostasis in participants with prediabetes, along a spectrum of abnormalities (IFG, IGT, or both). Although our trial was not powered to compare the extent of changes between the two study centers, the overall trend on relevant end-points appeared comparable in participants enrolled at K-CTC (all of self-reported Asian ethnicity) and at Joslin Diabetes Center (primarily white Caucasian). More targeted, powered studies will be required to assess whether age and/or gender are factors that modify the response to cinnamon on metabolic outcomes.

Second, the study protocol had a 'light touch' approach, without any recommendation for structured lifestyle interventions beyond general counseling at time of enrollment. Although this experimental design could also be construed as a limitation, it allows to better isolate the effect of cinnamon from superimposed investigator-mandated lifestyle modifications.

Third, the correlation between the reduction in serum protein carbonylation and in PG at 2-hr of the OGTT lends initial support to the use of total carbonylation as a marker of the effect of cinnamon on glucose tolerance.

This trial has also certain limitations. The relatively short duration does not allow to draw conclusions regarding the durability of cinnamon's effects or the rate of progression

from prediabetes to T2D. Also, the potential emergence of tachyphylaxis, noted with certain mainstay diabetes drugs (42), has to be evaluated rigorously. In addition, the current study was not powered to discriminate differences in the response to cinnamon between participants with IFG vs IGT. Finally, our experimental design did not directly aim to clarify the mechanism(s) of action of cinnamon, which should be investigated in future studies.

In conclusion, treatment with cinnamon for 12 weeks, compared to placebo, resulted in favorable changes on measures of glucose homeostasis in a representative population of participants with prediabetes. These findings should set the foundation for a longer and larger RCT that directly addresses the impact of cinnamon on incident T2D and/or remission of prediabetes.

Accepted Manuscript

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Charlene Coneys and the staff of the Clinical Research Center at JDC, and Wonsook Kim and the staff of the K-CTC for coordinating study visits and for their assistance through the trial. Aden Lee (Phillips Exter Academy, NH) contributed to data analysis. We thank Dr. M.E. Patti at JDC for reading our manuscript and providing valuable feedback. Finally, we are grateful to all patients who participated in this study.

Financial support: This study was supported by the Traditional Korean Medicine Research and Development program funded by the Ministry of Health and Welfare through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI) (grant no. HI13C0700).

Author Contributions: G.R.R., C.M.M, J.L., B.-C.L. developed the study design, supervised the research, analyzed and interpreted the data, and wrote the manuscript; Y.N. and C.H. acquired the clinical data. All authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Accepted Manuscript

REFERENCES

1. [www.IDF.org. https://www.idf.org/aboutdiabetes/what-is-diabetes/facts-figures.html](https://www.idf.org/aboutdiabetes/what-is-diabetes/facts-figures.html). 2019, accessed December 3, 2019.
2. Global Burden of Disease Study C. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet*. 2015;386(9995):743-800.
3. Association AD. Economic Costs of Diabetes in the U.S. in 2017. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(5):917-28.
4. Collaboration NCDRF. Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10027):1513-30.
5. ADA. Standards of Medical Care-2019. *Diabetes Care*. 2019;42(Suppl 1):S1-193.
6. Menke A, Casagrande S, Geiss L, Cowie CC. Prevalence of and Trends in Diabetes Among Adults in the United States, 1988-2012. *JAMA*. 2015;314(10):1021-9.
7. <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/campaigns/national-prediabetes-awareness-campaign.html>. The National Prediabetes Awareness Campaign. Accessed 12/3/2019.
8. Tuomilehto J, Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hamalainen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med*. 2001;344(18):1343-50.
9. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346(6):393-403.
10. Heianza Y, Hara S, Arase Y, Saito K, Fujiwara K, Tsuji H, et al. HbA1c 5.7-6.4% and impaired fasting plasma glucose for diagnosis of prediabetes and risk of progression to diabetes in Japan (TOPICS 3): a longitudinal cohort study. *Lancet*. 2011;378(9786):147-55.
11. Abdul-Ghani M, DeFronzo RA, Jayyousi A. Prediabetes and risk of diabetes and associated complications: impaired fasting glucose versus impaired glucose tolerance: does it matter? *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2016;19(5):394-9.
12. Haffner SM, Mykkanen L, Festa A, Burke JP, Stern MP. Insulin-resistant prediabetic subjects have more atherogenic risk factors than insulin-sensitive prediabetic subjects: implications for preventing coronary heart disease during the prediabetic state. *Circulation*. 2000;101(9):975-80.
13. Stevens JW, Khunti K, Harvey R, Johnson M, Preston L, Woods HB, et al. Preventing the progression to type 2 diabetes mellitus in adults at high risk: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of lifestyle, pharmacological and surgical interventions. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2015;107(3):320-31.
14. Pan XR, Li GW, Hu YH, Wang JX, Yang WY, An ZX, et al. Effects of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in people with impaired glucose tolerance. The Da Qing IGT and Diabetes Study. *Diabetes Care*. 1997;20(4):537-44.
15. Lindstrom J, Louheranta A, Mannelin M, Rastas M, Salminen V, Eriksson J, et al. The Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS): Lifestyle intervention and 3-year results on diet and physical activity. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26(12):3230-6.
16. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S, Mukesh B, Bhaskar AD, Vijay V, et al. The Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme shows that lifestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes in Asian Indian subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IDPP-1). *Diabetologia*. 2006;49(2):289-97.
17. DeFronzo RA, Tripathy D, Schwenke DC, Banerji M, Bray GA, Buchanan TA, et al. Pioglitazone for diabetes prevention in impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364(12):1104-15.
18. Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Gomis R, Hanefeld M, Karasik A, Laakso M, et al. Acarbose for prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus: the STOP-NIDDM randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9323):2072-7.

19. Group NS, Holman RR, Haffner SM, McMurray JJ, Bethel MA, Holzhauer B, et al. Effect of nateglinide on the incidence of diabetes and cardiovascular events. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362(16):1463-76.
20. Torgerson JS, Hauptman J, Boldrin MN, Sjostrom L. XENical in the prevention of diabetes in obese subjects (XENDOS) study: a randomized study of orlistat as an adjunct to lifestyle changes for the prevention of type 2 diabetes in obese patients. *Diabetes Care.* 2004;27(1):155-61.
21. Pontiroli AE, Folli F, Paganelli M, Micheletto G, Pizzocri P, Vedani P, et al. Laparoscopic gastric banding prevents type 2 diabetes and arterial hypertension and induces their remission in morbid obesity: a 4-year case-controlled study. *Diabetes Care.* 2005;28(11):2703-9.
22. Carlsson LM, Peltonen M, Ahlin S, Anveden A, Bouchard C, Carlsson B, et al. Bariatric surgery and prevention of type 2 diabetes in Swedish obese subjects. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367(8):695-704.
23. Diabetes Prevention Program Research G, Knowler WC, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Christophi CA, Hoffman HJ, et al. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Lancet.* 2009;374(9702):1677-86.
24. Tripathy D, Schwenke DC, Banerji M, Bray GA, Buchanan TA, Clement SC, et al. Diabetes Incidence and Glucose Tolerance after Termination of Pioglitazone Therapy: Results from ACT NOW. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;101(5):2056-62.
25. Haw JS, Galaviz KI, Straus AN, Kowalski AJ, Magee MJ, Weber MB, et al. Long-term Sustainability of Diabetes Prevention Approaches: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2017;177(12):1808-17.
26. Clarke TC, Black LI, Stussman BJ, Barnes PM, Nahin RL. Trends in the use of complementary health approaches among adults: United States, 2002-2012. *Natl Health Stat Report.* 2015(79):1-16.
27. Yeh GY, Eisenberg DM, Kaptchuk TJ, Phillips RS. Systematic review of herbs and dietary supplements for glycemic control in diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2003;26(4):1277-94.
28. Fischer F, Lewith G, Witt CM, Linde K, von Ammon K, Cardini F, et al. [A research roadmap for complementary and alternative medicine - what we need to know by 2020]. *Forsch Komplementmed.* 2014;21(2):e1-16.
29. Aggarwal BB. Targeting inflammation-induced obesity and metabolic diseases by curcumin and other nutraceuticals. *Annu Rev Nutr.* 2010;30:173-99.
30. Shen Y, Honma N, Kobayashi K, Jia LN, Hosono T, Shindo K, et al. Cinnamon extract enhances glucose uptake in 3T3-L1 adipocytes and C2C12 myocytes by inducing LKB1-AMP-activated protein kinase signaling. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(2):e87894.
31. Hlebowicz J, Hlebowicz A, Lindstedt S, Bjorgell O, Hoglund P, Holst JJ, et al. Effects of 1 and 3 g cinnamon on gastric emptying, satiety, and postprandial blood glucose, insulin, glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide, glucagon-like peptide 1, and ghrelin concentrations in healthy subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2009;89(3):815-21.
32. Ranilla LG, Kwon YI, Apostolidis E, Shetty K. Phenolic compounds, antioxidant activity and in vitro inhibitory potential against key enzymes relevant for hyperglycemia and hypertension of commonly used medicinal plants, herbs and spices in Latin America. *Bioresour Technol.* 2010;101(12):4676-89.
33. Roussel AM, Hininger I, Benaraba R, Ziegenfuss TN, Anderson RA. Antioxidant effects of a cinnamon extract in people with impaired fasting glucose that are overweight or obese. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 2009;28(1):16-21.
34. Medagama AB. The glycaemic outcomes of Cinnamon, a review of the experimental evidence and clinical trials. *Nutr J.* 2015;14:108.
35. Leach MJ, Kumar S. Cinnamon for diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012(9):CD007170.
36. Wallace TM, Levy JC, Matthews DR. Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. *Diabetes Care.* 2004;27(6):1487-95.

37. Mohanty P, Hamouda W, Garg R, Aljada A, Ghanim H, Dandona P. Glucose challenge stimulates reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation by leucocytes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2000;85(8):2970-3.
38. Ghanim H, Batra M, Abuaysheh S, Green K, Makdissi A, Kuhadiya ND, et al. Antiinflammatory and ROS Suppressive Effects of the Addition of Fiber to a High-Fat High-Calorie Meal. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2017;102(3):858-69.
39. Ghanim H, Sia CL, Upadhyay M, Korzeniewski K, Viswanathan P, Abuaysheh S, et al. Orange juice neutralizes the proinflammatory effect of a high-fat, high-carbohydrate meal and prevents endotoxin increase and Toll-like receptor expression. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2010;91(4):940-9.
40. Bonora E, Tuomilehto J. The pros and cons of diagnosing diabetes with A1C. *Diabetes Care.* 2011;34 Suppl 2:S184-90.
41. Khaw KT, Wareham N, Luben R, Bingham S, Oakes S, Welch A, et al. Glycated haemoglobin, diabetes, and mortality in men in Norfolk cohort of european prospective investigation of cancer and nutrition (EPIC-Norfolk). *BMJ.* 2001;322(7277):15-8.
42. Nauck MA, Kemmeries G, Holst JJ, Meier JJ. Rapid tachyphylaxis of the glucagon-like peptide 1-induced deceleration of gastric emptying in humans. *Diabetes.* 2011;60(5):1561-5.

Accepted Manuscript

LEGENDS

Figure 1. A) Randomization and follow-up of trial participants; B) Study design.

A) Screening, randomization, and follow-up through the 12-week treatment period. Six out of the sixty screened individuals were excluded. Three out of 27 participants randomized to placebo did not complete the study. B) Study design and main assessments over the five patient visits. FPG: fasting plasma glucose; HBA1c: glycated hemoglobin; OGTT: oral glucose tolerance test; GA: glycated albumin; HOMA (Homeostatic Model Assessment) identifies both HOMA-IR and HOMA-B; Rx caps: dispensing of placebo or cinnamon capsules; H&P denotes a complete History & Physical exam; SF-36: 36-item Short Form Survey.

Figure 2. Change in A) overall plasma glucose profile, and B) 2-hr plasma glucose of the OGTT, from baseline to 12 weeks.

A) Plasma glucose (PG) profile and corresponding area under the curve (AUC, inset) of the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), at baseline and after 12 weeks, on placebo and cinnamon. B) Mean PG change at the 2-hour timepoint from the respective baseline OGTT (0) to the OGTT at 12 weeks with placebo (P) and cinnamon (C). Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. Between-group differences were analyzed by ANCOVA. Within-group differences were analyzed by paired *t*-test. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$.

Figure 3. A) Serum protein carbonylation, and B) correlation of changes in carbonylation and in the 2-hr plasma glucose during OGTT.

A) Total protein serum carbonylation at baseline and after 12 weeks on placebo or cinnamon. Levels of carbonyls were reduced from baseline by cinnamon but not by placebo. Samples were available and analyzed from participants enrolled at one of the two study centers. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM ($n=6$ /group; ** $p < 0.01$). B) Correlation between the change in serum carbonyls and the decrease in plasma glucose (PG) at the 2-hr timepoint of the OGTT in the cinnamon group ($R^2=0.87$; ** $p < 0.01$).

Table 1. Characteristics of Subjects at Baseline ϕ

ϕ Plus-minus values are means \pm SD.

* $p < 0.05$.

** $p < 0.01$.

¶ Race was self-reported.

§ Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) or † beta-cell function (HOMA-B) were calculated as described in Materials and Methods.

Table 2. Changes between baseline and 12 weeks ϕ

ϕ Plus-minus values are means \pm SD.

* $p < 0.05$.


** $p < 0.01$.

*** $p < 0.001$.

§ Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) or † beta-cell function (HOMA-B) were calculated as described in Materials and Methods. nt of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) or † beta-cell function (HOMA-B) were calculated as described in Materials and Methods.

Accepted Manuscript


Figure 1



Accept

Figure 2

A)



B)





Figure 3



Accept

Table 1. Characteristics of Subjects at Baseline^ϕ

	Placebo	Cinnamon
n	27	27
Age, year	54.1 ± 8.0	50.4 ± 11.5
Sex (M:F)	16:8	12:15
Race ¶		
White	4	4
Asian	19	23
African American	1	0
Weight, kg	69.8 ± 11.4	77.7 ± 20.9
BMI, kg/m ²	25.5 ± 3.3	28.2 ± 5.0
SBP, mmHg	125 ± 10	127 ± 11
DBP, mmHg	75 ± 7	77 ± 9
FPG, mg/dL	110 ± 11.5	109 ± 12.4
Glycated albumin, %	13.9 ± 1.2	13.8 ± 2.0
HbA1c, %	5.7 ± 0.4	6.0 ± 0.5
OGTT		
0 min	105 ± 12	111 ± 12
30 min	185 ± 23	171 ± 34
60 min	211 ± 40	202 ± 38
90 min	208 ± 45	194 ± 43
120 min	174 ± 55	169 ± 55
AUC	22330 ± 4090	21389 ± 3858
Insulin, µU/mL	12.7 ± 4.4	11.7 ± 7.1
HOMA-IR §	3.17 ± 1.17	3.21 ± 2.09
HOMA-B % †	92.4 ± 40.8	91.4 ± 50.6
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	194 ± 37	180 ± 39
Triglycerides, mg/dL	170 ± 95	109 ± 53
HDL, mg/dL	45 ± 11	52 ± 13
LDL cholesterol, mg/dL	129 ± 33	113 ± 37
Total Protein, g/dL	7.3 ± 0.5	7.4 ± 0.5
ALT, U/L	25 ± 11	27 ± 21
AST, U/L	24 ± 5	25 ± 10
γ-GT, U/L	36 ± 29	36 ± 40
BUN, mg/dL	16 ± 4	15 ± 3
Creatinine, mg/dL	0.8 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.2
Uric acid, mg/dL	5.9 ± 1.4	5.3 ± 1.2
Creatinine kinase, U/L	146 ± 114	118 ± 41
Alkaline phosphatase, IU/L	71 ± 23	69 ± 18
WBC, 10 ⁹ /L	5.4 ± 1.2	6.0 ± 1.4
RBC, 10 ¹² /L	4.6 ± 0.4	4.6 ± 0.4
Hemoglobin, g/dL	14 ± 1	14 ± 1
Hematocrit, %	42 ± 3	41 ± 3
Platelets, 10 ⁹ /L	243 ± 33	235 ± 68

Table 2. Changes between baseline and 12 weeks^ϕ

	Placebo	Cinnamon	
FPG, mg/dL	4.2 ± 6.6	-0.7 ± 6.7	**
Glycated albumin, %	0.3 ± 0.6	-0.5 ± 0.6	***
HbA1c, %	0.1 ± 0.2	-0.1 ± 0.3	**
Insulin, μU/mL	-0.7 ± 5.7	2.2 ± 3.5	*
HOMA-IR §	-0.1 ± 1.6	0.5 ± 1.0	
HOMA-B % †	-13.2 ± 40	20.1 ± 36.8	**

Accepted Manuscript