Analysis of the Genotoxic Effects of Mobile Phone Radiation using Buccal Micronucleus Assay: A Comparative Evaluation
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Micronucleus (MN) is considered to be a reliable marker for genotoxic damage and it determines the presence and the extent of the chromosomal damage. The MN is formed due to DNA damage or chromosomal disarrangements. The MN has a close association with cancer incidences. In the new era, mobile phones are constantly gaining popularity specifically in the young generation, but this device uses radiofrequency radiation that may have a possible carcinogenic effect. The available reports related to the carcinogenic effect of mobile radiation on oral mucosa are contradictory.

Aim: To explore the effects of mobile phone radiation on the MN frequency in oral mucosal cells.

Materials and Methods: The subjects were divided into two major groups: low mobile phone users and high mobile phone users. Subjects who used their mobile phone since less than five years and less than three hours a week comprised of the first group and those who used their mobile since more than five years and more than 10 hours a week comprised of the second group. Net surfing and text messaging was not considered in this study. Exfoliated buccal mucosal cells were collected from both the groups and the cells were stained with DNA-specific dye acridine orange. Thousand exfoliated buccal mucosal cells were screened and the cells which were positive for micronuclei were counted. The micronucleus frequency was represented as mean±SD, and unpaired Student t-test was used for intergroup comparisons.

Results: The number of micronucleated cells/1000 exfoliated buccal mucosal cells was found to be significantly increased in high mobile phone users group than the low mobile phone users group. The use of mobile phone with the associated complaint of warmth around the ear showed a maximum increase in the number of micronucleated cells/1000 exfoliated buccal mucosal cells.

Conclusion: Mobile phone radiation even in the permissible range when used for longer duration causes significant genotoxicity. The genotoxicity can be avoided to some extent by the regular use of headphones.

INTRODUCTION

In this emerging era of mobile phones, more than three billion people are using mobile phones in the world [1]. India has world’s second-largest population of mobile phone users [2] having the” teledensity” of 80% [3]. Mobile phones use microwave radiation in the carrier frequency range of 900 to 1800 MHz [4]. There are disagreements regarding the health hazards of mobile phone radiation. Several studies have confirmed the genotoxic effect of mobile phone radiation [5–9]. WHO has classified mobile phone radiation on the IARC scale as Group 2B – ‘possibly carcinogenic by increased risk of Glioma formation’ [10]. But here we have to consider that brain tissue is well protected in the skull and to have an effect, mobile radiation has to penetrate several layers of tissue like skin, muscle, bone and even the blood-brain barrier. As a matter of fact, the oral mucosa is the tissue that is present in the closest vicinity of the area of a mobile phone while in use and has chances to show possible genotoxic changes by the effect of mobile phone radiation. Some authors have confirmed the genotoxicity of mobile radiation on oral mucosa [4,11], but others have apparently denied the genotoxic effect [12–14]. Considering all these disagreements, reevaluation of the effect of mobile radiation on the oral epithelium is needed. This study was designed to evaluate this effect by using the micronuclei index in the buccal exfoliated cells, as a marker for genotoxicity. Micronucleus (MN) is presented as microscopically visible chromatin mass in the cytoplasm that is present near the nucleus with no direct communication with the nucleus. The MN represents the eccentric chromosomes or chromatin fragments formed due to abnormal mitosis [15]. The presence of an increased number of micronucleated cells indicates DNA damage [16]. An evaluation of the MN frequency in exfoliated oral mucosal cells and its comparison between high and low mobile users can solve the controversy related to the genotoxicity of mobile phone radiation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subject Selection

The study was done after getting Institutional Ethical Clearance. A total of 300 male subjects between the age group of 20–30 (150 high mobile users and 150 low mobile users) were selected from the OPD of Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Kothiwal Dental College and Research Centre, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, from March 2010 to December 2010. The low mobile phone users (Group I), used mobile phone since less than five years and less than three hours a week. The high mobile phone users (Group II), used mobile since more than five years and more than 10 hours a week. Receiving or making calls was considered, while net surfing and text messaging was not included. In group II, 95 subjects were CDMA users and 55 subjects were GSM users. These high mobile users were further divided into wired headphone users (70) and non head phone users (80). For all the subjects, exfoliated buccal mucosal cells were collected from the same side in which the subject used their mobile phones the most. Only in the case of group II, a comparative evaluation of micronuclei was done between both the sides of buccal mucosa (right and left).
**Inclusion Criteria**
Subjects were selected in the age limit of 20-30 years. Individuals who didn’t have any history of medication in last three months had a similar body mass index, no nutritional deficiency and didn’t have any deleterious oral habits were finally selected for the study.

**Exclusion Criteria**
Subjects having deleterious oral habits, specifically tobacco and having any visible oral mucosal lesions were excluded from the study.

Before sample collection informed written consent from each subject was collected. A detailed questionnaire was prepared to evaluate the lifestyle, dietary habit, previous history of medication, locality of residence, type of mobile used (CDMA or GSM), duration of mobile phone usage (number of years and numbers of hours a week), use of headsets, wired or not. Associated symptoms like headaches, tingling of skin, rashes over the skin, the warmth of the ear were also noted.

**Sample collection and evaluation**
The subjects were initially asked to rinse the mouth with 1% glacial acetic acid. Exfoliated cells from the buccal mucosa were collected using a moistened wooden spatula and the cells were spread evenly on clean microscopic glass slides and air dried. The samples were fixed using cytofixative (Bio Fix) and the slides were stained with acridine Orange (Loba Chemie) staining solutions. Each slide was observed by a single observer.

**Scoring of micronuclei**
The criteria for identifying and scoring of MNi were based on the proposed description by Tolbert [17].

- It stains in the same intensity as the nucleus.
- It is located within the cytoplasm of the cell, and usually, the diameter is 1/3 to 1/6 of the nucleus.
- It has texture similar to nucleus.
- It is located in the same focal plane as nucleus.

From each slide 1000 cells were observed under Fluorescence microscope (Kyowa, Japan) under 400X magnification for MNi identification and 1000X magnification MNi for scoring [Table/Fig-1].

---

**Statistical Analysis**
SPSS (Statistical package for Social Sciences) Version 15.0 Software was used for statistical analysis. The values were represented in Mean±SD. The comparative evaluations were done using unpaired Student t-test.

**RESULTS**
There was a significant increase in the mean micronuclei count in group II (1.52±1.176) in comparison to the group I (0.77±0.815) [Table/Fig-2]. In group II, the micronuclei count in the side of mobile phone use was found to be statistically significantly elevated (1.52±1.176) in comparison to the opposite side (0.90±0.3992) [Table/Fig-3]. There was no significant difference in the mean micronuclei count of subjects using CDMA (0.64±0.722) or GSM (0.90±0.886) mobile phones [Table/Fig-4]. But the micronuclei mean count was found to be significantly increased in non-head phone users (2.09±1.291) in comparison to headphone users (0.96±0.699) [Table/Fig-5]. It was also found that in group II, users without head phones, had complained about warmth around the ear, have showed the highest mean count for micronucleated cells (2.847±0.341).

**DISCUSSION**
The most conventional mobile communication system in India is GSM and CDMA. GSM (Global System for Mobile Communications) uses frequencies of around 900 MHz bandwidth and CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) works on higher bandwidth i.e. 1800MHz [4]. So, CDMA handsets may have more genotoxicity. The International Commission on Non-ionizing radiation Protection (ICNIRP) has determined the permissible range on the level of SAR (Specific Absorption Rate i.e. the amount of energy absorbed per unit time per unit mass of tissue) [18]. The ICNIRP permissible limits for mobile phones are 2.0 W/kg.
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**Table/Fig-2:** Mean micronucleus count in subjects using CDMA and GSM mobile phones. The *p*-value is less than 0.0001. By conventional criteria this difference is considered to be extremely statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of mobile phone</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDMA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSM</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>1.9528</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.0527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table/Fig-3:** Mean micronucleus count from the side of buccal mucosa of mobile phone user and from the opposite side of buccal mucosa of mobile phone used in case of high mobile users showing their statistical differences. The *p*-value is less than 0.0001. By conventional criteria this difference is considered to be extremely statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of mobile phone</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDMA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSM</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>1.9528</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.0527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table/Fig-4:** Mean micronucleus count in subjects using CDMA and GSM mobile phones. The *p*-value is less than 0.0001. By conventional criteria this difference is considered to be extremely statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of mobile phone</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDMA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSM</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>1.9528</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.0527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table/Fig-5:** Mean micronucleus count in subjects using headphone and non using head phones. The *p*-value is less than 0.0001. By conventional criteria this difference is considered to be extremely statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of mobile phone</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDMA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSM</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>1.9528</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.0527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table/Fig-6:** Mean micronucleus count in subjects using CDMA and GSM mobile phones. The *p*-value is less than 0.0001. By conventional criteria this difference is considered to be extremely statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of mobile phone</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDMA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSM</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>1.9528</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.0527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The two-tailed p-value equals 0.0027. By conventional criteria this difference is considered to be not quite statistically significant.

---

**Table/Fig-7:** Mean micronucleus count in subjects using headphone and non using head phones. The *p*-value is less than 0.0001. By conventional criteria this difference is considered to be extremely statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of mobile phone</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDMA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSM</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>1.9528</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.0527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The two-tailed p-value equals 0.0027. By conventional criteria this difference is considered to be not quite statistically significant.

---

**Table/Fig-8:** Mean micronucleus count from the side of buccal mucosa of mobile phone used and from the opposite side of buccal mucosa of mobile phone used in case of high mobile users showing their statistical differences. The *p*-value is less than 0.0001. By conventional criteria this difference is considered to be extremely statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of mobile phone</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDMA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSM</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>1.9528</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.0527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
range of SAR is 2.0W/Kg [19]. Now-a-days the most popular 3G network system works under the frequency range of 2100 MHz [20]. Mobile phones radiate an average power of 0.2-0.6 Watt/ Kg, 40% of which is absorbed in the head and neck region [11]. Though the radio frequency wave from emitting the mobile phones are considered to be low-grade emission in the range of 1.6 to 2W/kg i.e. within the permissible range it can be still harmful in case of long term use for the prolonged period. Microwave radiation in the range of 2.45 GHz indicates significant DNA damage in mice model [21]. Another study conducted by Kesari et al., reported an increase in MN count, caspase 3 levels and apoptosis rate in mice model due to 3G cell phone exposure for two hours a day for 60 days [22]. Long-term use of mobile radiation even in low range can affect the reproductive system also. Shahin et al., have shown decreased sperm count in male Swiss strain mice for a long time low radiofrequency exposure by mobile phones [23]. As the head and neck region are the most closely approximated area for mobile phone use, the maximum radiation effect can be expected here. In this study micronucleus count in exfoliated buccal mucosal cells were used to evaluate the genotoxic effect of mobile phone radiation. MN count in the exfoliated cells can be used as a marker for an abnormal cell cycle as it is formed as a result of aberrant mitosis when the whole chromosome or chromatin fragment fails to reach the spindle pole. It is one of the best indicators of mitotic interference and chromosomal mutations or breakage [24]. The MN index is preferable for mass screening as it is rapid, simple, sensitive and cost-effective [25]. Counting of MN is very technique sensitive, and different staining methods cause significant variations for the evaluation of it’s frequency [26]. For proper evaluation of MN, DNA specific stain should be used [27] and thereby acridine orange stain was used in this study. As stated in the result, MN count was found to be significantly higher in high mobile phone users in comparison to low users, and it directly indicates the genotoxic effect of prolonged mobile phone use and cancer. J Assoc Phys India. 2008;56:980–84.
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